

# 36TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON COASTAL ENGINEERING 2018

Baltimore, Maryland | July 30 – August 3, 2018

The State of the Art and Science of Coastal Engineering

# A Design-Life Based Approach To Multi-Hazard Risk Analysis



Adam S. Keen

University of Southern California



Patrick J. Lynett, Ph.D. University of Southern California



### Outline

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Approach
- 3. Methodology
- 4. Results: Meteorological and Sea Level Rise Risk
- Results: Tsunami and Sea Level Rise Risk
- 6. Discussion
- 7. Conclusion



#### Motivation:

What natural hazards will impact California small craft harbors in the future?



TSUNAMI (E.G. CURRENT SPEED) (SEE KEEN ET AL., 2017)



TSUNAMI (E.G. SURFACE ELEVATION); METEOROLOGICAL; SEA LEVEL RISE



WINTER SWELL; HARBOR RESONANCE

#### Approach:

Meteorological water level, tsunami (e.g. surface elevation) and sea level rise will all impact a harbor at various points during the harbor's service life.

Let's consider how these 3 hazards are distributed over the harbor's design life (*i.e. hazard*), how the events impact the harbor (*i.e. vulnerability*), and the cumulative consequences (*i.e. risk*).

<u>Assuming a random distribution of events, we can generate a time series of extreme events</u> within a harbor's design life. Assessing the hazard at each time and iterating will help quantify <u>multi-hazard specific risk over the design life.</u>



#### Case Study: Santa Cruz Harbor

Let's compare meteorological water level, tsunami and sea level rise risk for Santa Cruz Harbor. But first we need to decompose a tide gauge!



5

#### What's contained in an NOAA tide gauge?

- 1. Astronomical water level
- Seasonal effects & climate cycles (e.g. ENSO)
- 3. Meteorological water level
- 4. Wave effects
- 5. Historical tsunami
- 6. Historical sea level rise



#### What's contained in an NOAA tide gauge?

- 1. Astronomical water level
- Seasonal effects & climate cycles (e.g. ENSO)
- 3. Meteorological water level
- 4. Wave effects
- 5. Historical tsunami
- 6. Historical sea level rise



#### Probabilistic Hazard Estimate

- Geist and Parsons (2006) & Geist and Lynett (2014)
- Probabilistic analysis of hazard (PHA) provides a means to incorporate natural uncertainties, model uncertainties and errors into the hazard assessment
- To do this, we conceptually separate a "return period storm" and a hazard "recurrence period"
- So... for a metocean-determined storm return period there is really a distribution of possible impacts that a unique "return period storm" might cause



#### Meteorological and Sea Level Rise Hazard: A Monte Carlo Based Approach



#### Meteorological and Sea Level Rise Risk: A Monte Carlo Based Approach



#### Results: Meteorological and Sea Level Rise Risk (Failure Probability)



# Tsunami and Sea Level Rise Hazard: A Monte Carlo Based Approach



#### Results: Tsunami and Sea Level Rise Risk (Failure Probability)



#### Results: Meteorological, Tsunami and Sea Level Rise Risk (Failure Probability)



#### Discussion:

- Methodology identifies differences in meteorological vs. tsunami risk during a harbor's design life.
  1) 1<sup>st</sup> half meteorological risk > tsunami risk
  - 2) 2<sup>nd</sup> half tsunami risk > meteorological risk
  - 3) Sea level rise increases relative risk of hazards
- <u>Design life approach can be generalized to a variety</u> <u>hazard/vulnerability relationships.</u>
- Method does not consider "recovery" but could be included for hazards where failure is not binary (i.e. tsunami current speed).



#### Conclusion:

- **Motivation**: What natural hazards will impact California small craft harbors in the future?
- Approach: Generate a time series of extreme events within a harbor's design life. Assessing the *hazard* at each time and iterating will help quantify multi-hazard specific *risk* over the design life.
- Methodology identifies differences in meteorological vs. tsunami risk during a harbor's design life. Sea level rise increases relative risk of hazards.
- Design life approach can be generalized to a variety hazard/vulnerability relationships to identify risk.





Adam S. Keen Graduate Student University of Southern California <u>adamkeen@usc.edu</u>

#### **References:**

Geist, E. L., & Lynett, P. J. (2014). Source processes for the probabilistic assessment of tsunami hazards. Oceanography, 27(2), 86-93. http://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2014.43.

Geist, E. L., & Parsons, T. (2006). Probabilistic Analysis of Tsunami Hazards. Natural Hazards, 37(3), 277-314. http://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-005-4646-z.

Keen, A. S., Lynett, P. J., Eskijian, M. L., Ayca, A., & Wilson, R. (2017). Monte Carlo-Based Approach to Estimating Fragility Curves of Floating Docks for Small Craft Marinas. Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal, and Ocean Engineering, 143(4), 04017004. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)WW.1943-5460.0000385.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (2013). NOAA Tides and Currents: San Francisco - Station ID: 9414290. Retrieved from https://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/stationhome.html?id=941 4290.

Thio, H. K. (in progress). Probabilistic Hazard Curves for California. AECOM.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. (2014). Sea-Level Change Curve Calculator (2014.88). Retrieved from http://www.corpsclimate.us/.