

36TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON COASTAL ENGINEERING 2018

Baltimore, Maryland | July 30 – August 3, 2018

The State of the Art and Science of Coastal Engineering







Probabilistic Investigation of Debris Impact Velocities During Extreme Flooding Events

Jacob Stolle, MASc, EIT

Department of Civil Engineering, University of Ottawa, Canada

Co-authors:

Ioan Nistor, Professor, University of Ottawa Emil Petriu, Professor, University of Ottawa Nils Goseberg, Professor, TU Braunschweig





NHK (2011)



Motivation

- Critical infrastructure failed during recent major flooding events.
- A need to revaluate the current methods of addressing loading within these events (Nistor et al., 2009).
- Emphasis placed on a probabilistic approach to addressing tsunami hazards.
- Led to the development of new standards focused on tsunami engineering:
 - SMBTR (2005)
 - FEMA P646 (2012)
 - ASCE7 Chapter 6 (2016)





36TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE

Baltimore, Maryland | July 30 - August 3, 2018

ENGINEERING 2018

ON COASTAL

Debris Hazard Assessment

- Eurocode 1: Accidental Actions
 - Analogous situations
 - Vessel impacting a bridge pier.
 - Vehicle crashing into a structure.
- Focusing on debris impact (Haehnel and Daly, 2004).
- Need to address
 - Probability of impact occurring.
 - Debris impact velocity.
- Fit within the current ASCE7 Chapter 6 model (Naito et al., 2014).





Experimental Setup

- The experiments were performed in ٠ the University of Ottawa dam-break flume.
 - $30 \text{ m} \times 1.5 \text{ m} \times 0.70 \text{ m}$ •

LVDT_

0.80 m

1.50 m

0.20 m



-30.00 m·





36TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON COASTAL ENGINEERING 2018 Baltimore, Maryland | July 30 - August 3, 2018

5/14

Experimental Protocol

- Investigated several variables:
 - Number of Debris
 - Impoundment Depth
 - Initial Configuration
 - Debris Material
- Minimum of 10 repetitions per experimental condition.

Impoundment Depth	Number of Debris	Debris Orientation	Repetitions
(<i>h</i> ₀)	(<i>N</i>)	(heta)	[#]
[m]	[-]	[0]	
0.40	1	0	20
0.20	1	0	10
0.40	1	90	20
0.40	3	0	10
0.20	3	0	10
0.40	6	0	20
0.20	6	0	20
0.40	12	0	20
0.20	12	0	20



- For a single debris, spreading characteristics (Stolle et al., 2018):
 - Mean: ~ 0.00 m
 - Standard Deviation: ~ 0.06 m

36TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE

ON COASTAL ENGINEERING 2018 Baltimore, Maryland | July 30 – August 3, 2018



7/14

Debris Tracking

- Based on the object tracking algorithm from Stolle et al. (2016).
 - Limited by the number of container needed to be tracked.
- Focus on the identification of the individual containers.
 - Limit the need to maintain unique identifier of the individual containers.
- Disadvantage:
 - Lose the individual information related to the debris:
 - Trajectory
 - Velocity
 - Orientation



CCF

2018

-500 0 500 X-Direction [mm]

36TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON COASTAL ENGINEERING 2018

0

X-Direction [mm]

500

Baltimore, Maryland | July 30 - August 3, 2018

-500







Debris Velocity Distribution

- One of the most challenging aspects of debris transport is the stochastic nature of debris transport (Matsutomi, 2009).
- Lin and Vanmarcke (2010) developed a statistical model for assessing debris transport in extreme wind events.

- Used a two-parameter Beta Distribution, due to ٠ its bounded nature [0,1], for single debris.
- Where: •

$$a = U\eta$$
$$b = (1 - \overline{U})\eta$$

Fitted using a Root-mean squared error • evaluation:





Application to Debris Guidelines

• Debris velocity is the only parameter in the impact equation considering the hydraulic conditions:

$$F = U\sqrt{km}$$

• The Beta distribution can be used to estimate the **likelihood** of exceedance.



Probability that the impact force (F_i)



Conclusions

- The maximum debris velocity can be estimated using **the wave front velocity**.
 - For an idealized case, does not consider flow accelerations due to obstacles or topography.
- The debris velocity profile dependent on the **number of debris** present.
 - Limitations regarding the initial entrainment of the debris.
- Using the Lin and Vanmarcke (2010) model, the probabilistic debris velocity profile can be estimated using a Beta distribution.

Next Steps

- Extend the single debris model to the **multiple debris** by considering the debris-debris interaction.
- Develop the model considering the **spreading of debris** for a detailed debris hazard assessment.



Thank you for your attention!

Jacob Stolle, M.A.Sc., EIT University of Ottawa Email: jstol065@uottawa.ca

References

Chock, G.Y., (2016). Design for tsunami loads and effects in the ASCE 7-16 standard. Journal of Structural Engineering 04016093.

Haehnel, R.B., & Daly, S.F., 2004. Maximum impact force of woody debris on floodplain structures. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 130, 112–120.

Matsutomi, H. (2009). Method for estimating collision force of driftwood accompanying tsunami inundation flow. *Journal of Disaster Research*, 4(6), 435–440.

Naito, C., Cercone, C., Riggs, H. R., & Cox, D. (2014). Procedure for site assessment of the potential for tsunami debris impact. *Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal and Ocean Engineering*, 140(2), 223–232.

Nistor, I., Nouri, Y., Palermo, D., & Cornett, A., 2009. Experimental investigation of the impact of a tsunami-induced bore on structures, in: *Proceedings Coastal Engineering Conference*. pp. 3324–3336.

Shafiei, S., Melville, B.W., Shamseldin, A.Y., Beskhyroun, S., & Adams, K.N., 2016. Measurements of tsunami-borne debris impact on structures using an embedded accelerometer. *Journal of Hydraulic Research* 54, 1–15.

Stolle, J., Nistor, I., & Goseberg, N. (2016). Optical Tracking of Floating Shipping Containers in a High-Velocity Flow. *Coastal Engineering Journal*, 1650005.

Stolle, J., Goseberg, N., Nistor, I., & Petriu, E. (2018). Probabilistic Investigation and Risk Assessment of Debris Transport in Extreme Hydrodynamic Conditions. *Journal of Waterways, Ports, Oceans and Coastal Engineering*, 144(1), 04017039.

Stolle, J., Nistor, I., Goseberg, N., Mikami, T., & Shibayama, T. (2017). Entrainment and Transport Dynamics of Shipping Containers in Extreme Hydrodynamic Conditions. *Coastal Engineering Journal*, 59(3), 1750011.

