

# 36TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON COASTAL ENGINEERING 2018

Baltimore, Maryland | July 30 – August 3, 2018

The State of the Art and Science of Coastal Engineering



# ADAPTATIONS ASSESSMENT TO FUTURE BEACH LOSS DUE TO SEA LEVEL RISE IN THAILAND

### Chatuphorn Somphong\*, Tohoku University, Japan

Keiko Udo, Tohoku University Sompratana Ritphring, Kasetsart University Hiroaki Shirakawa, Nagoya University So Kazama, Tohoku University

\*ChatuphornSomphong.p1@dc.tohoku.ac.jp



### Backgrounds & Introduction











JCCE

2018

2

for Local People in Southern Coasts



Poor Coastal Management

Beach debris problem in Urban Beaches

### Backgrounds & Introduction



*Putcharapitchakon and Ritphring (2012)* analyzed the sea level change in Thailand from water level records from 22 tide gauge stations in the Gulf of Thailand (GOT) and the Andaman Sea during 1972–2011 and indicated that the sea level had risen at an entirely averaged rate of 6.5 mm/yr.

Average trend of Water level, m



IPCC (2013) Projection of Sea level rise data (2081-2100) the ensemble-mean regional sea level rise data of 21 CMIP5 models for the RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0, and RCP8.5 scenarios



**JCCE** 2018

#### Backgrounds & Introduction ICCE **Projection of Future Beach Loss (2081-2100): based on differences RCP scenarios 50% loss in 48 zones** Ritphring et al., 2018 projected future beach loss based on the **Brunn Rule (1962)**. 2018 **100% loss in 19 zones** 50% loss in 36 zones 100% loss in 8 zones RCP2.6 **RCP8.5 RCP4.5 RCP6.0** 100 200 Beach Loss Rate, % 0 - 10 20 - 30 - 30 - 40 - 40 - 50 - 50 - 60 **—** 60 - 70 - 70 - 80 **—** 80 - 90 **—** 90 - 100 over 100 56.89 % Beach Loss Rate 71.79 % 45.78 % 55.05 % 31.51 km<sup>2</sup> 25.36 km<sup>2</sup> 39.77 km<sup>2</sup> Area Loss 30.49 km<sup>2</sup> **Beach loss area** = Shoreline Recession (R) x Zone length **Total of 51 Zones of Sandy Beach**es **Beach loss rate = {Shoreline Recession (R)/Existing Beach width} x Zone**

ما له به م



ICCE

2018

# **Methodology**

#### Volume Placed Calculation (Yoshida & Udo, 2014) The idea is that the area of under the curve of profile after sand fill subtracted by the area under curve of original profile. $V_p = BY_0 + \int_0^{W_*} \left(Ay^{2/3} + B\right) dy - \int_0^{W_*} \left(Ay^{2/3}\right) dy$ Y۸ W\* Present $V_p$ is the volume to be filled per coastal section (m<sup>3</sup>/m). shoreline position **B** is Amount of vertical increase of equilibrium profile. (m) $Y_0$ is dry beach width (at present). (m) Shoreline Retreat (Retreated )Beach Profile after Nourishment A is scale parameter. After beach Nourishment $W_*$ is the cross shore distance to the closure depth, $h_*$ Sea Level Rise Beach Profile after Nourishment after Nourishment Where B can be calculated by. **Vertical Increase (B)** after adding sand $B = S - \left(\frac{h_* + B_h}{W_*}\right)(Y_0 - Y_*)$ Y. Yn W, S = Sea Level Rise (m).Present $B_{h} = Berm height (m).$ shoreline position **Volume of Sand Fille** $Y_*$ = Designed beach width after shoreline retreated (m).



SLR

h₊

SLR

h.

## Methodology

Previous Study (Ritphring et al., 2018) collected beach characteristics; slope, grain size and calculated beach width by field measurement over **230 locations** for all beach zones.





## Results: Sand Volume required for Beach Nourishment

The figure shows total Sand volume required for beach nourishment for scenarios. Assume that filling sediment size is same as native sediment size.

The figure shows **COStS** of sands required for entire coastlines.



Sand Volume Required for Nourishment

1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6

Grain Size Diameter (D50), mm.

0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5



8

### **Results: Sand Volume for each beach zones**



### **Results: Sand Volume for each beach zones**

### The value shows the profile volume of sand required for each nourishment.



\_\_\_\_0 - 10

\_\_\_\_ 20 - 30

\_\_\_\_ 30 - 40







0 - 2

- This study provides preliminary results of sand volume and costs required for beach nourishment for each coastal zones in Thailand.
- The results are relied on the assumption that filling sediment size is the same the native one.
  - It would requires minimum of 1062 million USD (RCP2.6) to maximum of 3190 million USD (RCP8.5)

to keep the all the beaches at present width by using beach nourishment practice.

- Thailand has 38 million people who has salary. They needed to pay at least 28 USD to maximum 84 USD to compensate beach loss.
- This is only one time nourishment (to future period, 2081-2100) and this study consider beach the impact of Sea-Level Rise only. Beach replacement time interval should be assessed in future work

• Benefit of the beach is needed to be evaluate in order to determine optimum beach widths.



• Further cost-benefit analysis is required for realistic nourishment in design practice.

### References

- 1. Bruun, P., 1962. Sea-Level rise as a cause of shore erosion, *Journal Waterways and Habors Division*. ASCE, 88, 117-132.
- 2. Putcharapitchakon, K., and Ritphring, S., 2012. Sea Level Change in Thailand. *Ladkrabang Engineering Journal*, 29(3), 55-60 (in Thai).
- Ritphring, S.; Somphong, C.; Udo, K., and Kazama, S., 2018. Projections of future beach loss due to sea level rise for sandy beaches along Thailand's coastlines, *Proceedings from the International Coastal Symposium (ICS) 2018 (Busan, Republic of Korea). Journal of Coastal Research,* Special Issue No. 85, pp. 16-20. Coconut Creek (Florida), ISSN 0749-0208.
- Yoshida, J., Udo, K., Takeda, Y., Mano, A., 2014. Framework for proper beach nourishment as adaptation to beach erosion due to sea level rise. *In:* Green, A.N. and Cooper, J.A.G. (eds.), *Proceedings 13th International CoastalSymposium* (Durban, South Africa), *Journal of Coastal Research*, Special Issue No. 70, pp. 467-472, ISSN 0749-0208.

