

## 36TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON COASTAL ENGINEERING 2018

Baltimore, Maryland | July 30 – August 3, 2018

The State of the Art and Science of Coastal Engineering

## Shear stress measurements at the sea bottom by means of ferrofluids





Viviano A., L.M. Stancanelli, <u>R.E. Musumeci</u>, E. Foti

Department of Civil Engineering and Architecture, University of Catania, Italy



Research funded by H2020 - EC scheme



## **Motivation of the work**

The direct measurement of wall shear stress is extremely important, since large flow resistances develop right at the boundaries. Present instruments used to this aim are:

direct methods

indirect

methods

- mechanical shear plates or cells (Riedel et al. 1972, CENG)
- hot-film and hot-wire probes (Sumer et al. 1993, EiF)
- MEMS or bioluminescence (Foti et al., 2010, Meccanica)
- acoustic and optical methodologies, such as ADV, LDA, PIV and PTV (Cox et al. 1996, JGR; Musumeci et al. 2006, JGR; Albagnac et al., 2009, EFM; Wallace and Vukoslavčević, 2010, ARFM)

However, present instruments have pros and cons. In particular, the adoption in the presence of movable beds is extremely difficult.







## **Ferrofluids**

The ferrofluids are colloidal suspensions made up by nanoparticles of ferromagnetic materials (e.g. magnetite with size of about 10nm), dispersed in a non-magnetic solvent.

When they are exposed to an external permanent magnetic fields they form chan-like structures, oriented along the direction of the magnetic field (Rosensweig effect).



Ferrofluid structure (from Odenbach, 2010)









## The proposed measurement technique

The idea is to deploy the superparamagnetic properties of ferrofluids to develop a measurement technique able to measure shear stresses close movable bottoms by recording the deformation of the ferrofluid drop, whose height above the bottom is O(1mm) (Musumeci et al.2018).

### **Principle of operation:**

In the presence of a flow, a small quantity of ferrofluid (order of 0.01 milliliters) positioned at the wall and controlled by the action of a permanent magnetic field, will be deformed not only because of the applied magnets, but also due to the flow which acts on the ferrofluid drop.

The problem is how to «sense» the ferrofluid deformation

- Inductive readout strategy
- Optical readout strategy



[cm]

Ferrofluid drop



## Inductive readout strategy

- □ The movement of the ferrofluid drop is sensed by two planar coils.
- The perturbation of the magnetic field generated by the movement of the ferrofluid drop is transduced into a voltage change by the conditioning circuit.



CCE

2018













## **Inductive readout strategy**



CCE

2018

#### Calibration in the presence of regular surface waves





- A low sensitivity region of the conditioning circuit exists.
- The inductive strategy is a «blind» technique.
- What happens to the ferrofluidic sensor when the sediments impact on it?

Musumeci R.E., Marletta V., Sanchez-Arcilla A., Foti E. (2018) A ferrofluid-based sensor to measure bottom shear stresses under currents and waves. *Journal of Hydraulic Research*.

## **Optical readout strategy**

#### Goals of the work

Analysis on the parameters useful to describe the drop deformation

 Assessment of the optical system in the presence of different movable bottom conditions







Proposed measurament technique on sandy bottoms





## **Experimental apparatus**

Steady current hydraulic flume at the Hydraulic Laboratory of the University of Catania:

0

Ferrofluid

Channel: 3.65 m long, 0.15 m wide, 0.42 m deep Velocity Profiler Flow direction FF drop ି 000

- Flow velocity Ferrofluid spike formation Fixed volume pipette Vectrino Profiler Permanent magnets 0
  - **Optical readout tools**











Ferrofluid located on a small cylinder ( $\phi$ =1,4 cm), to control its position on the sandy bottom



NikonD7200





Layer of sediments thickness of about 5 mm

## **Experiments**

Steady current tests over:

#### uniform sandy bottoms

- 2 different uniform sands (fine and coarse sand)
- 15 different hydraulic conditions (v<sub>∞</sub>=0-35 cm/s)
- > sandy bottom made up by binary mixtures
  - 4 different sediment mixtures
  - 15 different hydraulic conditions (v<sub>∞</sub>=0-35 cm/s)

#### **Volumetric concentration of sediments**

fine sand	coarse sand
100%	-
-	100%
90%	10%
80%	20%
70%	30%
60%	40%

fine sand  $d_{50} = 0.25 \text{ mm}$ coarse sand  $d_{50} = 0.56 \text{ mm}$ 

#### Uniform: fine sand

FF volume 5 µl





# Mixture: 70% fine sand – 30% coarse sand Uniform: coarse sand



Magnetization strength 6 magnets x 11.8 N + 6 magnets x 22.5 N





## **Evaluation of the hydrodynamic conditions**



ICCE

2018

#### **TIME-AVERAGED VELOCITY PROFILES**

Bottom: 100% coarse sand

Bottom: 70% fine sand-30% coarse sand



## Relationship between $\tau$ and FF $\Delta_{\!x}$ : uniform sand

Bottom: 100% coarse sand

Bottom: 100% fine sand



## Change of slope

At ToM:

#### From fine to coarse sand:

CCE

2018

- Increase of ToM
- Sensitivity to bottom roughness

## Relationship between $\tau$ and FF $\Delta_x$ : binary mixture



Coherent behavior below ToM

Doubtful (linear?) behavior above ToM







## Conclusions

- A novel technique for the measurement of the wall shear stress based on ferrofluids is proposed
- An experimental campaign is carried out in the presence of sandy bottoms: uniform and binary mixtures
- The FF sensor shows a linear behavior and:
  - it is able to measure the shear stress changes induced by the difference of bed roughness
  - it shows a coherent behavior below ToM/automatically detect the ToM
- More work is needed in order to
  - quantitatively calibrate the FF sensor above the ToM
  - compare the inductive readout strategy and the optical one to provide a more robust methodology which can be used both at small scale and at large scale facilities

![](_page_14_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_11.jpeg)