

36TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON COASTAL ENGINEERING 2018

Baltimore, Maryland | July 30 – August 3, 2018

The State of the Art and Science of Coastal Engineering

Numerical Simulation of Three-Dimensional Flow Around Harbor due to Tsunami Using FAVOR Method and WENO Scheme



Yuki KAJIKAWA, Associate Professor

Graduate School of Engineering, Tottori University, Japan

Masamitsu KUROIWA, Professor

Graduate School of Engineering, Tottori University, Japan

Naonori OTANI, Student

Graduate School of Engineering, Tottori University, Japan



INTRODUCTION (1)

Topography Change due to Tsunami Serious Damage to Harbor Functions Damage to the harbor facilities by scouring • Use restriction of ships by sediment deposition... It is extremely important for disaster prevention to predict the topography change due to tsunami quantitatively.

Convectional Prediction Method of Topography Change

- 2D nonlinear long-wave model for tsunami propagation
- 2D models are practical and very useful for the calculation of large-area.



INTRODUCTION (2)

Example of Topography Change by 2D Model



Erosion in the channel is reproduced well.
 Local scour at the tip of breakwater is not reproduced.

CCE

INTRODUCTION (3)

>>> Flow in front of a Breakwater



3D flow field is generated around a harbor when tsunami strikes.

A full three-dimensional (3D) flow model is needed to predict the topography change accurately.

Solution First-Order Upwind Scheme

The prediction accuracy decreases due to the numerical diffusion if the calculation mesh is coarse.

In order to avoid the decrease of prediction accuracy... Application of high-order schemes is effective.

Fifth-order WENO scheme

(Weighted Essentially Non-Oscillatory scheme)

This scheme can calculate discontinuous flows stably and high accuracy.

FAVOR method

(Fractional Area/Volume Obstacle Representation method)
 This method can impose boundary conditions smoothly at complicated boundaries in the Cartesian coordinate system.



Development of a 3D tsunami flow model using the FAVOR method and the WENO scheme in order to predict a flow field around a harbor accurately.



NUMERICAL MODEL (1)

Soverning Equations introduced with FAVOR method

Continuity equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \{A_{(j)}u_j\} = 0$

Momentum equation

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{V} \left\{ \frac{\partial A_{(j)} u_j u_i}{\partial x_j} \right\} = -g \delta_{3i} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left\{ A_{(j)} \left(\nu + \nu_t \right) \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \right\}$$

where, i, j = 1, 2, 3; $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x, y, z)$; u_i = velocity in x_i direction; V = fractional volume rate; $A_{(i)} =$ fractional area rate in x_i direction $[(A_{(1)}, A_{(2)}, A_{(3)}) = (A_x, A_y, A_z)]; g = \text{gravitational acceleration}; \delta =$ Kronecker's delta; ρ = fluid density; P = p + 2/3k; p = pressure; v = kinematic viscosity of fluid

$$\frac{\partial k}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{V} \left\{ \frac{\partial A_{(j)} u_j k}{\partial x_j} \right\} = \frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left\{ A_{(j)} v_k \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \right\} + v_t \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) - \varepsilon$$

E-equation

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{V} \left\{ \frac{\partial A_{(j)} u_j \varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \right\} = \frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left\{ A_{(j)} v_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \right\} + C_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{k} v_t \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) - C_2 \frac{\varepsilon^2}{k}$$

$$A_z$$

$$v_{t} = C_{\mu} \left(k^{2} / \varepsilon \right)$$

$$v_{k} = v + v_{t} / \sigma_{k}$$

$$v_{\varepsilon} = v + v_{t} / \sigma_{\varepsilon}$$

$$C_{\mu} = 0.09 , \sigma_{k} = 1.00 ,$$

$$\sigma_{\varepsilon} = 1.30 , C_{1} = 1.44 ,$$

$$C_{2} = 1.92$$

NUMERICAL MODEL (2)

Numerical scheme



- $\Leftrightarrow: \text{Fractional area} \\ \text{rate } A_x, A_z$
- L: Velocity in cell center u, w
- : Presumed velocity in cell center u_c, w_c
- $\triangleright : \text{Velocity on cell} \\ \text{boundary } u_b, w_b$
- : Other scalars in cell center p, k, ε

Adaptation of <u>Collocated grid</u>

⇒ Since a control volume can be represented by one grid, introduction of the FAVOR method is easy.

Discretization method

- \Rightarrow Momentum equation :
- \Rightarrow Pressure :
- \Rightarrow Turbulent model :

WENO scheme for convection terms Adams-Bashforth method for time integration HSMAC method on collocated grid arrangement Hybrid scheme for advective term

NUMERICAL MODEL (3)

Discretization of Convection Terms by WENO scheme





f (
$$u_{b,i+1/2} \ge 0$$
) then
 $\tilde{u}_{i+1/2,0} = \frac{1}{3}u_{i-2} - \frac{7}{6}u_{i-1} + \frac{11}{6}u_i$
 $\tilde{u}_{i+1/2,1} = -\frac{1}{6}u_{i-1} + \frac{5}{6}u_i + \frac{1}{3}u_{i+1}$
 $\tilde{u}_{i+1/2,2} = \frac{1}{3}u_i + \frac{5}{6}u_{i+1} - \frac{1}{6}u_{i+2}$

$$(\tilde{u}_{i+1/2}) = \sum_{s=0}^{2} \omega_s \tilde{u}_{i+1/2,s}$$

where, ω_s = weighted values associated with each stencil



EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS

> Laboratory Experiment (Fujii et al. 2009)



Experimental Conditions

Thickness of breakwater	0.15 m	
Initial flow depth h	0.08 m	
Tsunami conditions	30 sec, 0.06 m	

Fujii, N., Ikeno, M., Sakakiyama, T., Matsuyama, M., Takao, M., Mukohara, T., Hydraulic experiment on flow and topography change in harbor due to tsunami and its numerical simulation. J. Japan Society of Civil Eng., B2-65 (1), 291-295 (in Japanese with English abstract) (2009).





CALCULATION CONDITIONS

Solution Mesh



O Calculation Conditions

$\Delta t (\mathrm{sec})$	0.005	Δz (m)	0.02
$\Delta x, \Delta y (m)$	0.10	$n (s/m^{1/3})$	0.012







RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS (1)

Bird's-Eye View of Water Surface (Calculation)



Backwash process

ICCE

RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS (2)

Somparisons of Flow Velocity Vectors

Leading wave process of tsunami



⇒ Calculated flows near breakwaters are reproduced smoothly along the breakwaters by introducing the FAVOR method.

CCE

RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS (3)

Solution Comparisons of Flow Velocity Vectors

Backwash process of tsunami



⇒ Flow spreading by numerical diffusion is reduced in the WENO scheme.





RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS (4)

Comparisons of Time Variation of Flow (M-10)



2018



RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS (6)

> 3D Flow at the Time of Leading Wave (Calculation)





RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS (7)

>>> 3D Flow at the Time of Backwash (Calculation)



CCE

CONCLUSIONS

A 3D tsunami flow model using the FAVOR method and the WENO scheme was proposed in order to predict 3D flow filed around a harbor accurately.

It was clarified that the proposed model can calculate flows around structures which are not along the coordinate smoothly by introducing the FAVOR method.

By comparing between experimental and calculated results, the proposed numerical model was able to reproduce the flow field more accurately than the low-order scheme model.

In the future work, we will introduce a topography change model into this 3D flow model.

CCE

Thank you for your attention!

