

36TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON COASTAL ENGINEERING 2018

Baltimore, Maryland | July 30 – August 3, 2018

The State of the Art and Science of Coastal Engineering

Estimation of bound and released infragravity waves based on wave observation and numerical simulation in shallow water

* Katsuya Hirayama, Dr. Eng.

Port and Airport Research Institute (PARI) in National Institute of MPAT, Japan

Hiroaki Kashima, Dr. Eng.

Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism, Japanese Government

Yoshiyki Uno, Dr. Eng.

ECOH Corporation



Motivation

Moored ship oscillation due to resonance of mooring system with infragravity waves





Contents

✓ <u>Introduction</u>

- Wave observation
- Standard spectrum
- ✓ Bound infragravity waves in offshore
 - Estimation with observed wind waves
 - Free long-period waves
- ✓ <u>Released infragravity waves in harbor</u>
 - Estimation using a Boussinesq model
 - Evaluation with observed data

✓ <u>Conclusions</u>



Introduction

Location of the focused harbor

Most frequent principal wave direction; NNE

Infragravity waves bound on short-wave groups; *H*_{Lb}

Free long-period waves existing in a field; H_{Lf}

Berths

Breakwaters

Infragravity waves released from short-wave groups; *H*_{Lr}

Observed infragravity waves may consist of ...

Outside of Harbor

Inside of Harbor

Affecting to oscillation of a moored ship



)Geoscience, NTTDATA RESTEC / Included(C) JAXA



Standard spectrum for infragravity waves (Outside)

Hiraishi et al (1997): Standard spectrum for long period waves, Proc. Coastal Eng., JSCE, Vol.44, pp.246-250.



JCCE 2018

Standard spectrum for infragravity waves (Outside)

Newly proposed relational function between α_l and R_L for not only BM but JONSWAP



ICCE

ICCE

2018



 $\gamma = S(f_p)(2\pi)^4 f_p^{-5} \exp(5/4)(\alpha_g g^2)^{-1}$ $\alpha_g = (0.65f_p)^{-1} \int_{1.35f_p}^{2f_p} (2\pi)^4 f^5 g^{-2} \exp\left[\frac{5}{4} \left(\frac{f}{f_p}\right)^{-4}\right] S(f) df$

 H_S : significant wave height L_S : wave length for significant wave period h: water depth at observation point



Ursell number: $U_r = H_s L_s^2 / h^3$

 H_S : significant wave height L_S : wave length for significant wave period h: water depth at observation point

U,

 U_{r} Each peak parameter of JS for observed wave spectrum is estimated by Mitsuyasu et al (1980) $\gamma = S(f_{p})(2\pi)^{4} f_{p}^{5} \exp(5/4)(\alpha_{g}g^{2})^{-1}$ $\alpha_{g} = (0.65f_{p})^{-1} \int_{1.35f_{p}}^{2f_{p}} (2\pi)^{4} f^{5}g^{-2} \exp\left[\frac{5}{4}\left(\frac{f}{f_{p}}\right)^{-4}\right] S(f) df$ ICCE

2018

Selected data: the peak of wind wave spectrum is single in storm period.

Distribution of α_l calculated with BM or JONSWAP to waves (U_r) observed at Outside



 H_S : significant wave height L_S : wave length for significant wave period h: water depth at observation point





Each peak parameter of JS for observed wave spectrum is estimated by Mitsuyasu et al (1980) $\gamma = S(f_p)(2\pi)^4 f_p^{-5} \exp(5/4)(\alpha_g g^2)^{-1}$ $\alpha_g = (0.65f_p)^{-1} \int_{1.35f_p}^{2f_p} (2\pi)^4 f^5 g^{-2} \exp\left[\frac{5}{4}\left(\frac{f}{f_p}\right)^{-4}\right] S(f) df$



Distribution of R_L calculated with BM or JONSWAP to waves (U_r) observed at Outside



Ursell number: $U_r = H_s L_s^2 / h^3$

 H_S : significant wave height L_S : wave length for significant wave period h: water depth at observation point





Each peak parameter of JS for observed wave spectrum is estimated by Mitsuyasu et al (1980) $\gamma = S(f_p)(2\pi)^4 f_p^{-5} \exp(5/4)(\alpha_g g^2)^{-1}$ $\alpha_g = (0.65f_p)^{-1} \int_{1.35f_p}^{2f_p} (2\pi)^4 f^5 g^{-2} \exp\left[\frac{5}{4}\left(\frac{f}{f_p}\right)^{-4}\right] S(f) df$



Distribution of R_L calculated with BM or JONSWAP to waves (U_r) observed at Outside



 $H_{\rm S}$: significant wave height $L_{\rm S}$: wave length for significant wave period *h* : water depth at observation point

 H_{2nd} : semi-theoretical second-order wave height for Modified BM (JS with $\gamma=1$) (Kato & Nobuoka, 2005) $= H_{Lb}$: infragravity wave height bound on wave group

Free long wave height:

$$H_{Lf} = \sqrt{H_L^2 - H_{Lb}^2}$$

10

Selected data: the peak of wind wave spectrum is single in storm period.



100

Estimation of free long-period waves existing in a field

Distribution of R_L calculated with BM or JONSWAP to waves (U_r) observed at Outside







100

Spatial distribution of H_S calculated by using a Boussinesq model for BM spectrum

Ex) Wind wave: H_s =2.6m, T_s =12s, Principal direction: NNE and directional spreading parameter: S_{max} =75



Short-wave groups induce the second-order wave-wave interaction (Schaffer, 1993; etc).

Released infragravity waves can be estimated since the short-wave groups are reduced due to diffraction on the harbor mouth and breaking on shoals.



CCE

2018

Applied Boussinesq model: NOWT-PARI Ver5.2 (Hirayama and Hiraishi, Waves 2005)

• Fundamental equation (Madsen & Sørensen, 1992)

 $\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{P^2}{D} \right) + gD \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} - v_t \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x^2} = \left(B + \frac{1}{3} \right) h^2 \frac{\partial^3 P}{\partial t \partial x^2} + \frac{h}{3} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x \partial t} + Bgh^3 \frac{\partial^3 \eta}{\partial x^3} + 2Bgh^2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial x^2}$

- Breaking model Momentum diffusion term due to breaking
 - Breaker index
 - > Vertical water pressure gradient with BSQ approx.
 - Production of turbulence energy
 - > Time dependent bore model
 - Wave attenuation
 - > Eddy viscosity estimated by turbulence eq. model
- Runup model
 - Moving shoreline
 - > Overtopping flux defined on board crested weir





Variation of ratios of H_{Lr} calculated in the harbor (No.3) to H_S generated in offshore (No.2)



CCE



Evaluation of Distribution of H_{Lr} estimated with the matrix to H_L observed in the harbor (No.3)





CCE

Conclusions

- By using the newly proposed spectrum for infragravity waves, the height of offshore bound waves whose frequency are less than the boundary frequency can be estimated by the observed ratio of infragravity wave height to significant wave height while its Ursell number is greater.
- By using a Boussinesq model to calculate the reduction of short-wave groups at a harbor entrance, infragravity wave heights in a harbor can be estimated as released wave heights in case that free long-period waves rarely exist there.
- In a future work, the wave train which consists of both wind and infragravity waves will be generated from the standard spectrum, with considering distribution of their direction, in order to estimate infragravity waves those may include free long-period waves in a harbor.



Thank you for your attention

