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ABSTRACT

A mathematical theory will be given about the phenomena, which occur if on a coastal area groynes are constructed.

In former similar theories ([1], [2], [3], [4], [5]) the coast was schematised by one coastline. In the following theory it is presented by two lines, one line representing the beach and the other one the inshore.

The theory is based upon the following assumptions:

1° the littoral drift along beach and inshore is linear dependent of the angle of wave incidence and therefore of the direction of the line of beach and inshore respectively;

2° the transport perpendicular to the coast depends on the steepness of the profile.

If the distance between the line of the beach and the line of the inshore is less than a certain equilibrium distance, the profile is too steep and there is an offshore transport. In the opposite case there is an onshore transport. The relation between offshore transport and distance between the mentioned lines is linearised.

Some results are shown in fig. 8, 9 and 13.

It is found, dat the influence of a groyne system is threefold: they reflect short-period beach processes on the adjacent areas, they retard erosion and they give a lee-side scour.

But the theory only gives one aspect: influence of diffraction and of currents is not yet taken into account.

INTRODUCTION

In order to know what will happen with a coast after the building of coastal structures, one can make use of several approaches.

If one should know the wave spectra during a long time at the site, if a reliable sand transport formula was available, if one would know the interaction between waves and currents on the sand transport and if the rules for the onshore- and offshore transport were known, one would probably be able to predict the changes. Unfortunately, the state of knowledge is not so far just now.

Another approach, which we will use is more or less morphological. With the aid of the continuity equation and a simplified dynamical equation with some unknown constants one can find formulae for the coastline in course of time. With the aid of curve fitting one can find the unknown
constants, which are only valid for the considered area. Furthermore one can find the constants from theories, following the first-mentioned approach, which gives a quick check of these theories.

The first one, who published a paper about the second approach was PELNARD-CONSIDÈRE [1]. The original idea was of BOSSEN.

PELNARD-CONSIDÈRE assumes, that the profile of the coast always remains the equilibrium profile, so that he only needs to consider one coastline, being one of the contourlines. He assumes no currents, constant wave direction, small angle of wave incidence and a linear relation between angle of wave incidence and littoral drift. As the angle of wave incidence at A is larger than at B, the littoral drift at A is larger than at B; this means that there is accretion between A convex coast erodes, a concave coast accretes.

He finds (cf "Appendix"), that the accretion is linear dependent of the curvature of the coast and inverse proportional with the depth D, up to where accretion takes place:

\[ \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} = \frac{q}{D} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} \]  

(1)

in which the x-direction is the main coastal direction, the y-axis points in seaward direction and in which

\[ q = \frac{dQ}{d\alpha} \]

the derivative of the littoral drift Q to the angle of wave incidence \( \alpha \).

From this differential equation the coastline y as a function of x and t can be found for many boundary conditions. PELNARD-CONSIDÈRE finds solutions for the coastline of river deltas, the coastline in the vicinity of harbour moles and so on. His experiments confirm the theory.

GRIJM [2], [3] extends the theory by using a better formula for the littoral drift:

\[ Q = \bar{Q} \sin 2\alpha, \]

in which \( \alpha \) is the wave direction. He computes the shape of river deltas and finds fundamentally two possible solutions for these deltas: one in which the angle of wave incidence is everywhere less than 45° (fig. 2a) and the other one, in which this angle is everywhere more than 45° (fig. 2b). Also combinations are possible (fig. 2c).
In figure 2c the angle of wave incidence is less than $45^\circ$ at the parts A, B, F and more than $45^\circ$ at the parts C, D, E. As one never knows which combination one has to choose, the problem seems to be indefinite. BAKKER and EDELMAN [4] treat the same problem with the linear Pelnard-Considère approach. They investigate also the case of negative $q(=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \phi})$, which occurs if the angle of wave incidence is large. Their solutions are more or less similar to GRIJM, but opposite to GRIJM, they also find a periodical solution:

$$y = e^{-\frac{2}{5}t^2} \cos nx . . . (2)$$

This is a sinusoidal shaped coastline of which the amplitude decreases in course of time if $q$ is positive (small angle of wave incidence), but increases if $q$ is negative (large angle of wave incidence). Therefore, solutions of the shape of fig. 2b are unstable and will be destroyed, because slight deviations trigger large deviations according to (2).

This solves the problem of the indefiniteness: nature will prefer solutions of category I. GRIJM did not find this solutions, because he confined himself to solutions growing with $\sqrt{t}$ in all directions.

"standing" and attenuating wave of fig. 3, also propagating and attenuating waves are possible. The propagating sandwave found in the prototype on Vlieland could be explained with theory. BARKER also examines the influence of coastal structures on these sandwaves. The sandwave appears to be reflected by the structure: the amplitude at the site of the structure is enlarged. One can sense this, because there is an analogy between these moving sandwaves and tidal waves. The coastline is analogous to the vertical tide and the littoral drift to the horizontal tide. If one stops the littoral drift (current) by a dam, one increases the variations of the coastline (vertical tide).

One of the beauty failures of the solutions of PELNARD-CONSIDÈRE [4] and BARKER [5] is the assumption of parallel depth contours. Near coastal structures the deviations of the prototype can be considerable. For instance, the solution near a breakwater is sketched in fig. 4a. PELNARD-CONSIDÈRE finds, that the coast on the left-hand side builds up to the head of the breakwater and that the coastline on the right-hand side erodes the same amount. This might be true for constructions, extending to large depths. But in the case of groynes only the littoral drift on the beach is prevented: at the beach there will be sedimentation of material on one side of the groyne and erosion on the other side. But in deeper regions this disturbance does not take place, so on the left-hand side the profile becomes steeper than the equilibrium profile and the sand drops down, and on the right-hand side the profile is flatter than the equilibrium profile and the sand is pushed by the waves in upward direction.

In order to reproduce this feature in a mathematical model it is necessary to schematize the coast by two lines instead of one. This will be done in this article. The difference with former theories is, that thus off- and onshore transport are taken into account.

**Definitions and assumptions.**

Fig. 5a denotes a schematized profile. The profile is subdivided into two parts, one part consisting of the profile between 0 and D, below sea level (beach), the second one between D, and D, + D, (inshore). Between beach and inshore is a horizontal shelf at depth D, the total depth D being D, + D,.
The depth \( D \) is assumed to be so large, that no littoral drift takes place here.

In reality one can imagine, that a breaker ridge occurs at depth \( D_1 \) and that a trough links the two parts of the coast (dotted line), fig. 5a.

It is assumed, that a groyne reaches up to the horizontal shelf at depth \( D_1 \) and prevents all littoral drift along the beach, but of course not along the inshore.

In the theory the profile is still more schematized, according to fig. 5b. A stepwise profile remains. The areas \( PQSR \) and \( RTUV \) in fig. 5a are equal to the corresponding areas in fig. 5b.

In top view one sees two lines at a distance \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) from the \( x \)-axis, which will be called "the line of the beach" and "the line of the inshore" respectively.

The "equilibrium distance" \( W \) is the distance \( y_2' - y_1 \) between beach and inshore, when the profile is an equilibrium profile.

The following dynamic equations are assumed. If the distance \( y_2' - y_1 \) is equal to the equilibrium distance \( W \), no interaction is assumed. If the distance \( y_2' - y_1 \) is less than \( W \), the profile is too steep and an offshore transport will be the result. An onshore transport will occur in the opposite case.

We linearize this relation and take for the offshore transport \( Q_y \) per unit length:

\[
Q_y = q_y \left( y_1 - (y_2' - W) \right) \quad \ldots \quad (3a)
\]

in which \( q_y \) is a proportionality constant. The dimension of \( q_y \) is \([l/t]\). For a simpler notation, we denote:

\[
y_2' = y_2' - W \quad \ldots \quad (4)
\]

Then (3a) becomes

\[
Q_y = q_y (y_1 - y_2) \quad \ldots \quad (3)
\]

With respect to the littoral drift, the assumption of PELNARD-CONSIDERE \([1]\) is applied, both for beach and inshore: the transport in linearized:
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Scales

Horizontal $1 \text{ m} = L_0 \sqrt{\frac{1}{Q_i (Q_i + \frac{1}{Q_i})}}$

Vertical $1 \text{ m} \ tan \ a = \frac{Q_i}{Q_i} \sqrt{\frac{1}{Q_i (Q_i + \frac{1}{Q_i})}}$

Time $T_0 = L_0 \ \frac{Q_i}{Q_i} \ \frac{1}{2} \ \frac{Q_i}{Q_i}$
in which \( Q_{o1} \) and \( Q_{o2} \) are respectively the "stationary transport" (littoral drift where \( \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x} = 0 \), resp. \( \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x} = 0 \), fig. 7) on beach and inshore and in which \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) are proportionality constants. The dimension of \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) is \([13/t]\).

RESULTS

By making use of the continuity equation and the above-mentioned dynamical equations one can compute many stationary and instationary cases (cf. "Appendix").

Of importance appears to be a reference length:

\[
L_o = \sqrt{\frac{1}{q_y \left( \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} \right)}} 
\]

In the initial situation the lines of beach and inshore are parallel. Fig. 8 shows the situation immediately after the construction of the groyne. Only the beach shows some build-up on the right-hand side and erosion on the left-hand side. It must be stressed, that the influence of diffraction is not taken into account.

In fig. 8b and 8c the profile on the left-hand side becomes too steep and sand drops down to the inshore. Here the littoral drift was originally everywhere the same. The supply of sand from the beach overcharges the transport capability of the inshore and therefore sand sedimentates here.

Now the littoral drift \( Q_2 \) along the groyne at the inshore becomes larger (\( \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x} \) becomes negative, cf (5)). In the final stage (fig. 8d) beach and inshore on the left-hand side and on the right-hand side are shifted with respect to each other. This is in correspondence with the results of PELNARD-CONSIDÈRE [1], but he finds, that the coast builds up to the top of the groyne, and here it is found, that it builds up to a distance, only dependent of \( q_1 \), \( q_2 \), \( q_y \) and the angle \( \alpha \) of wave incidence, where \( \tan \alpha = \frac{q_01}{q_1} \).

Fig. 9 shows several stationary cases.

In fig. 8d gives again the final state of fig. 8d. The transport is the same as without a groyne, because the transport at a long distance of the groyne does not change. If more groynes are constructed, the littoral drift along the beach is stopped more and more, because the beach turns in the direction...
of the wave crest (fig. 9c, b, a).

We now consider the case, that the groynes are so near to each other, that they prevent all the transport along the beach (fig. 9a).

In this case the total littoral drift is the drift along the inshore:

\[ Q = Q_2 = Q_{o2} - q_2 \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x} \]

Before the construction of the groynes this transport was:

\[ Q = Q_1 + Q_2 = Q_{o1} + Q_{o2} - q_1 \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x} - q_2 \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x} \]

Following the conception of PELNARD-CONSIDÈRE (cf Appendix, 1), and assuming that the sedimentation takes place equable on beach and inshore \((q_y \text{ sufficiently large})\), the coastal equation for a protected area would be:

\[ \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = \frac{q_2}{D} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} \]

The coastal constant \(q/D\) is changed in \(q_2/D\). The assumption, that the sedimentation takes place equable on beach and inshore, is about correct for long-term coastal processes (long with respect to \(T_0\), cf Appendix 2, (19), 7.

We considered the case, that the groynes were so near to each other, that they prevent all the transport along the beach. If the distance between the groynes is larger, the coastal constant will not diminish with a factor \(q_2/q\), but less:

\[ \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = \frac{q'}{D} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} \text{, in which } q_2 < q' < q_1 + q_2 \ldots (7) \]

This factor can be computed (cf Appendix, 4) and will be called \(\frac{1}{p^2}\):

\[ \frac{1}{p^2} = \frac{q'}{q_1 + q_2} \rightarrow p = \sqrt{\frac{q_1 + q_2}{q'}} \ldots \ldots \ldots (8) \]

We now have returned to the one-line theory of PELNARD-CONSIDÈRE a protected area can be considered as an area with another coastal constant \((\frac{q'}{D})\) than the neighbouring unprotected area, and this constant can be computed with the two-line theory.

In the following section we shall give first some rough statements, making use of the one-line theory, considering a protected area as an area with another coastal constant, and afterwards we shall illustrate it with more accurate computations with the two-line theory. The advantage of the one-line theory is, that it gives a quick insight in the essence of the matter.

LAWS OF SCALE

One can make the coastal equation (1) dimensionless by substituting:

\[ x = n_x \cdot \chi \; ; \; y = n_y \cdot \eta \; ; \; t = n_t \cdot \tau \; ; \; q/D = n_{cc} \cdot \zeta \; \text{in which } \chi, \eta, \tau \]
and \( C \) are dimensionless and \( n_x, n_y, n_t, n_{cc} \) give the scale factor of \( x, y, t \) and the coastal constant \( q/D \).

One finds the following relation:

\[
\frac{n_{cc}}{n_x} \cdot \frac{n_t}{2} = \text{constant} \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \quad (9)
\]

The scale \( n_y \) of \( y \) can be chosen arbitrary, because \( y \) occurs on both sides of eq. (1). We shall give some examples:

Consider two half-infinite coastal areas with different coastal constants, which are in rest at infinity. Suppose that the ends of both areas carry out the same movements in course of time. The shape of the coastline will be the same in both cases, but the \( x \)-scale will be equal to the square root of the coastal constants (fig. 10a).

Consider now two areas with different coastal constants, which are identical at time \( t = 0 \) and of which the ends of the areas are in rest. Now the \( x \)-scale is the same and therefore the timescale of the changements will be inverse proportional to the coastal constants (fig. 10b).

---

**INFLUENCE OF A ROW OF GROYNES**

We consider a coast, where over a long stretch groynes are constructed at time \( t = 0 \).

What is the influence of the groynes on the coast?

We will consider three influences, which can be superponed, because all equations are linear:

1° the influence of external causes (boundary conditions);
2° the influence of the oblique incidence of the waves (stationary transport);
3° the influence of the shape of the coast.

1° Influence of external causes.

Assume a wave direction, perpendicular to the coast and a straight coastline at time \( t = 0 \).
Assume, that by one or another external cause (for instance, a river or tidal canal, which embouches left of the left boundary, fig. 11) the coastline at time t, would have been according to fig. 11c, if no groynes would have been constructed.

What is the coastline if groynes are constructed at time $t > 0$?

This coastline can be constructed with the following method.

The coastline $y'_x$ of the protected area can be found by reducing the x-scale of $y$ by a factor $1/p$ ($p$ defined by (8) ) and by multiplying the y-scale with a factor $1 + r$, in which:

$$r = \frac{p - 1}{p + 1}, \text{ so } 1 + r = \frac{2p}{p + 1} \ldots \ldots (10)$$

In formula: $y'_x(x) = (1 + r) y(px) \ldots \ldots (11a)$

The coastline of the unprotected part $y_x$ can be found as the sum of the original $y$ plus a "reflected $y$"; the latter one being the reflection of the original $y$ (for $x > 0$) with respect to the y-axis and multiplied with the reflection factor $r$, given in eq. (10):
FIG 13  PROPAGATING SANDWAVE ACCORDING TO TWD-LINE SYSTEM
if \( x < 0 \), then \( y(x) = y(x) + r \cdot y(-x) \ldots (11b) \)

In fig. 12 the method of construction is visualised.

One can prove easily, that:

a. the new coastline of the unprotected part \( y \) suffices the coastal equation (1) for an unprotected area: it consists of the sum of two functions, both obeying this linear equation.

b. the new coastline of the protected part \( y \) suffices the coastal equation (7) for a protected area. For the timescale of the changes at \( A \) is the same in figs. 11b and 11c and the x-scale is proportional to the square root of the coastal constants (fig. 10a).

c. the transport a little bit on the left of \( A \) in fig. 11b is equal to the transport a little bit on the right of \( A \).

d. the y-coordinates at \( A \) of the protected and unprotected area are the same.

As an example of the influence of external causes in the case of the two-line system, fig. 13 shows the influence of groynes on a harmonical, propagating sandwave. These sandwaves occur, if the left-hand boundary erodes and accretes harmonically (by an external cause), if the right-hand boundary (protected coast) is in rest, and if the profile at the boundaries is an equilibrium profile [4], [5]. In the case of fig. 13, it is assumed, that the distance between the groynes is so small, that no littoral drift takes place on the beach. The protected beach just reacts as a store. The formulae are given in the Appendix, 7.

As fig. 13 shows, for short-period processes \(^1\), the motion of the

\(^1\) defined in the appendix
Inshore is practically the same either if groynes are constructed, either if they are not. Then the motion of the protected beach is small, but there is a large edge effect on the unprotected beach, near the beginning of the groyne system.

For long-period processes the results of the one-line theory are confirmed: the wave-length along the protected beach and inshore is a factor $1/p$ times the wave-length along the unprotected beach and the amplitude is enlarged by a factor $1 + r$, according to (10).

2° Influence of oblique incidence of waves

According to the one-line system, the transport along an unprotected coast $y$ and along a protected coast $y'$ are respectively:

$$Q = Q_0 - q \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$$

$$Q' = Q'_0 - q' \frac{\partial y'}{\partial x}$$

In this formula $Q'$ and $q'$ are smaller than $Q_0$ and $q$. If the transport along the beach is prevented totally, $Q'_0$ and $q'$ are respectively $Q_0/2$ and $q_2$, the constant of the inshore.

Consider an area, partly protected with groynes, which is at $t = 0$ parallel to the $x$-axis (fig. 15a). On the unprotected beach the transport will be $Q_0$ and on the protected beach $Q'_0$ and therefore the sedimentation per unit time will be $Q_0 - Q'_0$. With the same considerations as in the chapter "influence of external causes" it can be shown, that a kind of delta will be formed, which will increase with a velocity proportional to $\sqrt{t}$ (fig. 15b). This delta is not symmetrical: the same $y$-coordinate at the point $(-x)$ of the unprotected coast occurs in the point $x/p$ of the protected coast (fig. 14). The inverse will occur on the lee-side of the groyne system. Here a similar shape scour-hole will be formed. Fig. 15 shows the shape and the transport, the formulae are given in appendix, 8.

The corresponding two-line system is rather intricate and still in study.

3° The influence of shape

Even if the coastline would not change because of external causes and even if the influence of stationary transport is not taken into account, a convex coast would erode and a concave coast accrete according to eq. (1):

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = q \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2}$$

In the one-line system the difference between a protected coast and an unprotected coast is a difference in the constant $q/D$, which differs a factor $p^2$, according to (8). This means, that a protected coast accretes

1) defined in the appendix
Figure 16 EROSION OF BEACH AND INSHORE ACCORDING TO THE TWO-LINE SYSTEM
(erodes) slower than an unprotected coast with the same curvature. The timescale is $p^2$ times as large, according to (9) and fig. 10b.

At the boundary between a protected part and an unprotected part edge effects arise, which can be computed graphically with the method of Schmidt [5].

Fig. 16 shows the erosion of a convex coast, according to the two-line system. The formulae are given in appendix, 9.

It is assumed, that before $t = 0$ beach and inshore have the same parabolic shape and an equilibrium profile. They erode with the same velocity. At time $t = 0$ groynes are constructed at the beach, so near to each other, that they prevent all transport along the beach. The erosion along the inshore is not stopped, however, the profile becomes too steep and sand moves from beach to inshore.

The erosion of the inshore diminishes and the erosion of the beach begins again. Finally, beach and inshore erode together again, but the profile remains steeper than the equilibrium profile, and the total rate of erosion is less than before, according to the one-line theory.

**DISCUSSION**

The theory only deals with one aspect.

Other aspects are:

1° the influence of rip-currents near the groyne.
The influence is two-fold: rip-currents transport material from beach to inshore and they cause stream-refraction. These two influences work against each other. The transport of material from the beach causes a scour-hole on the beach and the stream-refraction causes a spit on the beach.

At the moment experiments with dyed water in the prototype are carried out to get an impression of the order of magnitude of these rip-currents. Rip-currents flatten the profile and lower the rate of effect of a groyne-system. Therefore it is very important, that they give the correct transport in models, because otherwise one can find the inverse effect of groynes as in practice.

2° the influence of diffraction on the lee-side of groyne.
The author has the feeling that diffraction does not really change the effect of a groyne system, but only has minor effects in the immediate vicinity.

3° variable wave direction
This causes changing boundary conditions near the groyne.
Most influence will be found near the first groyne of a groyne system, where this will generate short-period moving sandwaves on the beach.
These sandwaves have a short wavelength and will decay at a short distance of the groyne. At a long distance of the groyne one only finds the effect of mean wave conditions and no influence of variations.
Therefore, also with varying wave conditions, most of what has been said, especially about long-period processes, remains its validity with changing wave conditions.

4° non-linearity in the transport equation.
According to the author, this is mostly of minor importance, except if anywhere the angle of wave incidence along beach or inshore becomes
about 45° or more. In this case the matter of instability, mentioned in the introduction becomes important. A point where this can occur is in fig. 8 on the inshore, right in front of the groyne.

Of course everyone will be interested in the values of the coastal constants.

The following is not more than a reasonable guess, because serious investigations have not yet been done.

For some parts of the Dutch coast, \( q/D \approx 0.4 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3/\text{m depth/year/radian} \) and \( q_y \) might be 1 to 10 m/year at a depth \( D_1 \) of 3 m.
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APPENDIX

1. THE DERIVATION OF PELNARD-CONSIDÈRE

If \( x \) is the main coastal direction and \( y \) is in seaward direction, the angle of wave incidence is nearly (taking

\[
\text{arctg } \frac{\delta y}{\delta x} \approx \text{arctg } \frac{\delta y}{\delta x} : \alpha = \alpha_0 - \frac{\delta y}{\delta x}
\]

The littoral drift \( Q \) is a function of the angle of wave incidence and can be put into a Taylor series:

\[
Q = Q_0 + \frac{dQ}{d\alpha} (\alpha - \alpha_0) + \ldots.
\]

in which \( Q_0 \) denotes the transport \( Q \) if the angle of wave incidence is \( \alpha_0 \). This gives in linear approximation:

\[
Q = Q_0 - q \frac{\delta y}{\delta x}
\]
in which \( q = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \alpha} \) for \( \alpha = \alpha_0 \).

The equation of continuity says that the sedimentation is equal to the decrease of littoral drift:

\[
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} + D \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = 0.
\]

Substituting \( Q \) gives the equation of Pelnard-Considère:

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{q}{D} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2}.
\]

It will be seen, that this derivation remains its validity when \( Q \) denotes the mean yearly transport along a coast.

In practice, the transport is zero if the angle of wave incidence is zero. In order to get this correct in the mathematical model, it has sense to choose \( Q \) less than the transport when the angle of wave incidence is \( \alpha_0 \) (fig. 18).

2. DERIVATION OF THE FORMULAE FOR THE TWO LINE SYSTEM

The equations of continuity are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial x} - Q_y &= D_1 \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial t} \\
\frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial x} + Q_y &= D_2 \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial t}
\end{align*}
\]

Substituting the dynamical equations (3) and (5) for \( Q_1 \), \( Q_2 \) and \( Q_y \) gives:

\[
\begin{align*}
q_1 \frac{\partial^2 y_1}{\partial x^2} - q_y (y_1 - y_2) &= D_1 \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial t} \\
q_2 \frac{\partial^2 y_2}{\partial x^2} - q_y (y_2 - y_1) &= D_2 \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial t}
\end{align*}
\]

Adding both equations gives:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 (q_1 y_1 + q_2 y_2)}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial (D_1 y_1 + D_2 y_2)}{\partial t}
\]

which can be written as:
\[
\frac{q}{D} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{D_1 D_2}{D^2} \left( \frac{q_1}{D_1} - \frac{q_2}{D_2} \right) \frac{\partial^2 (y_1 - y_2)}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \quad \ldots \ldots (14),
\]

in which \( \frac{q}{D} = \frac{q_1 + q_2}{D_1 + D_2} \) and \( y = \frac{1}{D} (D_1 y_1 + D_2 y_2) \).

\( y \) is the "coastline" of Pelnard-Considère. We will confine ourselves to cases where \( \frac{q_1}{D_1} = \frac{q_2}{D_2} \), which means, that if beach and inshore have the same curvature, they fill up equable and the profile does not change. In this case the second left-hand term of (14) is zero and we have back eq. (1) of Pelnard-Considère.

By dividing the equations (13) by \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) respectively and subtracting one finds:

\[
\frac{q}{D} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} - \frac{q D}{D_1 D_2} y = \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots (15)
\]

in which \( y = y_1 - y_2 \) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (16)

Eq. (15) is the equation for the offshore transport, which equals \( q_y y_\cdot \).

By using the auxiliary variable \( y_e \), equal to:

\[
y_e = y_e - y_\cdot e^{\frac{q D}{D_1 D_2} t}
\]

this can be written as:

\[
\frac{q}{D} \frac{\partial^2 y_e}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial y_e}{\partial t} \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots (18)
\]

(1) and (18) both represent the diffusion-, warmth-, or conductivity equation, for which many numerical or graphical integration processes are available, for instance the method of Schmidt [5].

By substituting the appropriate boundary conditions for \( y \) and \( y_e \), one can find \( y \) and \( y_e \) at every time and place, from which \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \).

Some problems can be solved analytically, of which some examples will be given.

From (17) it will be seen, that the time scales of this kind of processes is highly dependent of a reference time \( T_0 \):

\[
T_0 = \frac{D_1 D_2}{q_y D} \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots (19)
\]

3. THE PROBLEM OF FIG. 8. \( D_1 = D_2, q_1 = q_2 \).

Boundary conditions: \( a \) \( y_1 = y_2 = 0 \) for \( x = \infty \) and \( 0 < t < \infty \)

\( b \) \( y_2 = 0 \) for \( x = 0 \) and \( 0 < t < \infty \)
First the equations (1) and (15) are made dimensionless by substituting 
\( x' = x/L_0 \), \( t' = t/T_0 \) and \( y_{1,2}' = y_{1,2} \cot \alpha \), in which \( L_0 \) and \( T_0 \) are defined in (6) and (19) respectively. In the following the accents will be omitted. Denoting the Laplace transform of \( y' \) with \( \tilde{y} \), the Laplace transforms of the new equations are for the given boundary conditions:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{y}}{\partial x^2} = s \tilde{y} \quad \ldots . . . . \ldots . \quad (20)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{y}}{\partial x^2} - \tilde{y}_- = s \tilde{y}_- \]

Solving eq. (20) and substituting the boundary conditions give:

\[
\tilde{y} = \frac{-e^{-x \sqrt{s}}}{s(\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{s+1})} \quad \ldots . . . . \quad (21)
\]

\[
\tilde{y}_- = \frac{-2e^{-x \sqrt{s+1}}}{s(\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{s+1})}
\]

The functions of (21) can be splitted up into fractions. Then terms arise as \( \frac{e^{-x \sqrt{s+1}}}{\sqrt{s}} \), which can be developed into series of the kind

\[
s^{-n+\frac{1}{2}} s^{-x \sqrt{s}},
\]

of which the inverse are integrals of the error function. From this, one finds the final solution. In the following, the coordinates are given for \( x > 0 \) (the eroded part). For \( x < 0 \), there is antisymmetry. The solution is:

\[
y_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{n}{n!} Y_{2n-1} \left( \frac{x}{2\sqrt{t}} \right) + \frac{e^{-t}}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{n}{n!}}{n^2} Y_{2n-1} \]

\[
\left( \frac{x}{2\sqrt{t}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} s^{-x} \text{erfc} \left( -\sqrt{t} + \frac{x}{2\sqrt{t}} \right) \quad \frac{1}{2} e^x \text{erfc} \left( \sqrt{t} + \frac{x}{2\sqrt{t}} \right) \quad \ldots . . . . \quad (22)
\]

(22) is in abridged notation: \( x = x/L_0 \) (cf (6)), \( t = t/T_0 \) (cf (19)) and \( y_{1,2} = y_{1,2} \cot \alpha \).

The upper sign gives \( y_1 \), the lower sign \( y_2 \).

In (22), the meaning of \( Y_n \) is: \( Y_n (x) = 2^n \Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) i^n \text{erfc} x \).
erfc x has nothing to do with complex numbers, but denotes the n-th integral of the complementary error function.

Thus \( Y_{-1}(x) = e^{-x^2} \). The functions \( Y_n \) are shown in fig. 19. We refer to [5], also for tables and recurrence formulae (page 300 till 318).

The erosion of the coast at \( x = 0 \) is:

\[
[y_1]_{x=0} = 2 \tan \alpha \left( \frac{1 - e^{-t/T_0}}{\sqrt{\pi t/T_0}} - \text{erf} \, \sqrt{\frac{t}{T_0}} \right).
\]

(23)

In which \( \text{erf} x = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} \, dt \) and \( \text{erfc} x = 1 - \text{erf} x \).

4. STATIONARY CASE WITH GROYNES: FIG. 9.

In the stationary case \( \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial t} \) and \( \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial t} \) in (13) are zero.

This gives the general solution:

\[
\begin{align*}
  y_1 &= A e^{x/L_0} + B e^{-x/L_0} + C x + E \\
  y_2 &= - \frac{q_1}{q_2} (A e^{x/L_0} + B e^{-x/L_0}) + C x + E
\end{align*}
\]

(24)

Boundary conditions for fig. 9:

a) antisymmetry \( A = -B \)

b) \( y_1 = y_2 = 0 \) for \( x = 0; E = 0 \)

c) \( Q_1 = 0 \) for \( x = L \)

\[ \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=L} = \frac{Q_{01}}{q_1} = \tan \alpha \]

d) \( y_2 = 0 \) for \( x = L \)

Result:

\[
\begin{align*}
  y_1 &= \tan \alpha \left( x + \frac{q_2}{q_1} \frac{L}{L_0} \frac{\sinh x/L_0}{\sinh L/L_0} \right) \\
  y_2 &= \tan \alpha \left( x - L - \frac{q_2}{q_1} \frac{L}{L_0} \frac{\sinh x/L_0}{\sinh L/L_0} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(25)
5. STATIONARY CASE WITH ONE GROYNE: FIG. 8d AND FIG. 9.

This case can be found from the former by taking \( L = \infty \) and \( x' = L + x \). The coordinates of the eroded part are:

\[
\begin{align*}
  y_1 &= -L \tan \alpha \left( \frac{q_1}{q_2} + e^{-x/L_0} \right) \\
  y_2 &= -L \tan \alpha \left( \frac{q_1}{q_2} (1 - e^{-x/L_0}) \right)
\end{align*}
\]  

\( \ldots \ldots (26) \)

6. LITTORAL DRIFT ON A PROTECTED AREA.

In order to return from the two-line system to the one-line system, we look for a transport formula for a protected area of the kind:

\[
Q = Q_0' - q' \frac{\partial y'}{\partial x} 
\]  

\( \ldots \ldots (27) \)

in which \( y' \) gives the overall coastal direction of a protected area (fig. 21) and \( Q_0' \) denotes the transport if the overall coastal direction is parallel to the x-axis, as in fig. 9. By applying the transport formula (5) to (25) we find (\( \tan \alpha = \frac{Q_{01}}{q_1} \)):

\[
Q_0' = Q_{02} + Q_{01} \frac{\tgh L/L_0}{L/L_0} 
\]  

\( \ldots (28) \)

If the overall coastal direction \( \frac{\partial y'}{\partial x} \) has a certain value, the transport changes because \( Q_{01} \) and \( Q_{02} \) in (28) have to be replaced by

\[
Q_{01} = q_1 \frac{\partial y'}{\partial x} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{02} = q_2 \frac{\partial y'}{\partial x}.
\]

From this one can find \( q' \) and \( p^2 \):

\[
p^2 = \frac{q_1 + q_2}{q_1} = 1 + \frac{q_1}{q_2} \frac{\tgh L/L_0}{L/L_0} 
\]  

\( \ldots (29) \)

7. THE SANDWAVES OF FIG. 13.

Differential equations: for the unprotected part (1) and (15), for the protected part (13) with \( q_1 = 0 \). Assumed is no littoral drift along the protected beach.
In this harmonical case, the derivatives to $t$ like $\frac{\partial y}{\partial t}$ can be replaced by $i\omega y$, by example

Boundary conditions:

a. $y_1 = y_2 = 0$ at $x = \infty$

b. $y_1 = y_2 = e^{-K'_+x} \cos (\omega t - K'_+x)$ at $x = -\infty$, in which

$$K'_+ = \sqrt{\frac{\omega D}{2q}}$$

c. $\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x} = 0$ for $x = 0$

d. $y_2$ continuous and differentiable at $x = 0$

Solution:

See adjacent page. The "offshore transport wave" is the solution of the equation (15) for $y$, the incoming and reflected wave are solutions of (1). The solution is highly dependent of the value of $\omega T_o$. This value defines the short-period waves ($\omega T_o << 1$) and large-period waves ($\omega T_o >> 1$).

8. INFLUENCE OF OBLIQUE WAVES INCIDENCE (FIG. 15). FORMULAE.

Accretion at unprotected coast (branch $y_I$ in fig. 15b):

$$y_I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{p - 1}{q} \frac{Qo1}{q_1} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{4\pi t}{D}} e^{-x^2D/4\pi t} + x\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}} \text{erf} \left( x\sqrt{\frac{D}{4\pi t}} \right) \right\}$$

Littoral drift $Q$ at unprotected coast (branch $y_I$)

$$Q = Q_o - \frac{p - 1}{p} q \frac{Qo1}{q_1} \left\{ 1 + \text{erf} \left( x\sqrt{\frac{D}{4\pi t}} \right) \right\}$$

Accretion at protected coast (branch $y_{II}$ in fig. 15b):

$$y_{II} = y_I (-px)$$

Littoral drift $Q$ at protected coast (branch $y_{II}$ in fig. 15b)

$$Q = Q_o - \frac{p - 1}{p} q \frac{Qo1}{q_1} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{p} \text{erf} \left( px\sqrt{\frac{D}{4\pi t}} \right) \right\}$$

in which: $\text{erf} \ x = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt.$

For the scour hole (branch $y_{III}$ and $y_{IV}$), the formulae are similar.
UNPROTECTED COAST

Incoming wave (equilibrium profile) + Reflected wave (equilibrium profile) + Offshore transport wave (no equilibrium profile)

\[ y_1 = \text{Re} \left[ \left( e^{-k_x x} + \frac{C_2}{C_1} e^{k_x x} + \frac{D_2}{D} \frac{C_3}{C_1} e^{k_x x} \right) e^{i\omega t} \right] \]

\[ y_2 = \text{Re} \left[ \left( e^{-k_x x} + \frac{C_2}{C_1} e^{k_x x} - \frac{L_1}{D} \frac{C_3}{C_1} e^{k_x x} \right) e^{i\omega t} \right] \]

PROTECTED COAST

\[ y_1 = \text{Re} \left[ \frac{e^{-k_x x + i\omega t}}{C_1 \left( 1 + \frac{D_1}{q_y + i\omega} \right)} \right] \]

\[ y_2 = \text{Re} \left[ \frac{1}{C_1} e^{-k_x x + i\omega t} \right] \]

IN WHICH

\[ k_+ = \frac{D}{q} - i\omega = \sqrt{\frac{\omega D}{2q} + i \frac{\omega D}{2q}} = \frac{1}{\left( \frac{1}{\omega} + i\omega T_0 \right)} \]

\[ k_- = \sqrt{\frac{D}{q} \left( \frac{1}{T_0} + i\omega \right)} = \frac{1}{L_0} \sqrt{1 + i\omega T_0} \]

\[ k = \sqrt{\frac{i\omega D}{q_2} \left( \frac{1+i\omega T_0}{1+i\omega T_0} \right) + \frac{1}{L_0} \sqrt{\frac{1+i\omega T_0}{1+i\omega T_0}}} \]

\[ C_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{D_1}{k_+} - \frac{D_2}{k_+} \frac{k_-}{k_+} \]

\[ C_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{D_1}{k_-} - \frac{D_2}{k_-} \frac{k}{k_-} \]

\[ C_3 = \frac{k}{k_-} \]
9. EROSION OF BEACH AND INSHORE ACCORDING TO TWO-LINE SYSTEM (fig. 16).

For the two-line system (fig. 16), the differential equations for \( t > 0 \) are given by (13) with \( q_1 = 0 \).

Results:

- \( t < 0 \) (groynes not yet constructed):

\[
y_{1,2} = ax^2 + \frac{2aq_2}{q_y} \cdot \frac{D_1D_2}{D^2} \cdot \frac{D}{D_2} \cdot \frac{t}{T_0}
\]

- \( t > 0 \) (groynes constructed):

\[
y_1 = ax^2 + \frac{2aq_2}{q_y} \cdot \frac{D_1D_2}{D^2} \cdot \left( e^{-t/T_0} - 1 + \frac{t}{T_0} \right)
\]

\[
y_2 = ax^2 + \frac{2aq_2}{q_y} \cdot \frac{D_1D_2}{D^2} \cdot \left\{ e^{-t/T_0} - 1 + \frac{t}{T_0} + \frac{D}{D_2} (1 - e^{-t/T_0}) \right\}
\]