CHAPTER 104

SURF ZONE MEASUREMENTS OF SUSPENDED SEDIMENT

Timothy W. Kana

ABSTRACT

Suspended sediment concentration was measured in approximately 250 breaking waves on undeveloped beaches near Price Inlet, South Carolina, U.S.A., using portable in situ bulk water samplers. As many as 10 instantaneous 2-liter water volumes were obtained in each wave for a total of 1500 samples. Concentrations of suspended sediment were determined at fixed intervals of 10, 30, 60 and 100 cm above the bed for various surf zone positions relative to the breakpoint. The majority of waves sampled during 22 days in June and July, 1977 were relatively long crested, smooth, spilling to plunging in form, with breaker heights ranging from 20 to 150 cm. Surf zone process variables measured included breaker height and depth, breaker type, wave period, surface longshore current velocity, wind velocity and direction.

Scatter plots of mean concentration against various process parameters indicate the amount of sediment entrained in breaking waves is primarily a function of elevation above the bed, breaker type, breaker height and distance from the breakpoint. Concentration ranged over 3 orders of magnitude up to 10 gm/l, but varied less than 1 order for samples collected under similar conditions with regard to elevation and breaker type. Plunging breakers generally entrain 1 order more sediment than spilling breakers equal in height. Despite considerable scatter, these data indicate concentration decreases with increasing wave height for waves 50 to 150 cm high, suggesting that small waves can be important in the transport of sand on gently-sloping open coasts.

INTRODUCTION

Over 1500 instantaneous suspended sediment concentrations have been determined from water samples collected in the surf zone near Price Inlet, South Carolina, U.S.A. The purpose of this study was to determine the spatial distribution of suspended sediment near the breakpoint under various wave conditions in order to identify the wave parameters which control the amount of sediment entrained from the bed. This work represents one of several experiments conducted by the Coastal Research Division of the University of South Carolina designed to increase our understanding of sediment entrainment and transport processes in waves and eventually to construct a predictive model of suspended sediment concentration in the surf zone.
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METHODS FOR MEASURING SUSPENDED SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION

Only a few workers have attempted to measure concentration of suspended sediment in breaking waves, undoubtedly due to the relative difficulty of sampling in a high energy environment. Three basic methods for measuring concentration in oscillatory flows have been used: pump systems for obtaining a time-integrated sample of water and sediment (Watts, 1953; Fairchild, 1972, 1977; and Coakley, et al., 1978, this volume); in situ collecting traps for obtaining relatively instantaneous bulk water samples (Kana, 1976; Inman, 1977); and indirect measures which relate turbidity to light attenuation, back scatter of light, or gamma absorption (Hom-ma, et al., 1975; Hattori, 1969; Horikawa and Watanabe, 1970; Kennedy and Løcher, 1972; Basinski and Lewandowski, 1974; Brenninkmeyer, 1976a; and Leonard and Brenninkmeyer, 1978, this volume). There are obviously certain disadvantages to any of these techniques, most important of which is the influence of the sampling apparatus on the flow field. Any device which remains fixed to the bed, or utilizes a supporting structure or pier, is likely to monitor artificially-induced suspensions (Inman, 1977).

Pump samplers were not suitable for the present study because they provide time-averaged water samples making it difficult to determine the effect of a single wave on sediment suspension. Since concentration generally fluctuates at a period approximating that of the incident wave field, relatively instantaneous values were required.

Indirect monitors of concentration, such as the almometer (Brenninkmeyer, 1976a), have the advantage of providing detailed time series information on turbidity changes, but most of these devices are difficult to calibrate for field use. Such external conditions as cloud cover, air entrainment in the surf zone, and the presence of varying amounts of organic matter in the water column, affect turbidity and, therefore, the output of these devices. Also, in some cases, the threshold for detecting sediment bursts from the bed is significantly higher than the typical concentrations found in the surf zone by Watts (1953) in California, or Kana (1977) in South Carolina.

According to Inman (1977), the best way of overcoming the limitations of presently available suspended sediment samplers is by using portable in situ samplers activated by swimmers in conjunction with remote recording turbidity sensors. This combination may be ideal, but it is difficult to coordinate and expensive to deploy. However, for the past 3 years, we have used a portable in situ water sampler which has proven to be an efficient and inexpensive means of obtaining suspended sediment samples in the surf zone under a variety of wave conditions. Concentrations obtained directly from in situ water samples have been combined with littoral observations to estimate suspended sediment transport rates along two undeveloped beaches (Kana, 1977).

The apparatus used in the present study collects several closely spaced simultaneous water samples in a vertical array above the bed (Kana, 1976). It consists of a 2 meter-long mounting pole, support brackets and several 2-liter cast acrylic bottles closed off by hinged
doors (Fig. 1). To ready the sampler for use, the operator opens the bottle doors and attaches them to a trigger assembly on the mounting pole, similar to rigging a Van Dorn-type water sampler. Then as the bottles are held open, the device is carried into the surf zone and positioned vertically above the bed until the sampling instant. At the desired sampling time, the apparatus is thrust into the bed, forcing the trigger open and allowing the bottles to shut simultaneously, trapping each sample. The device has a relatively fast response time of less than one-half second, remaining off the bed until the sampling instant. Tests have shown that the collecting bottles are drawn shut before sediment thrown up by the apparatus reaches each sampling position. The typical array of samples collected in this study were centered at 10, 30, 60 and 100 cm above the bed. Because of the relatively broad, stubby shape of each collecting bottle, the lowermost sample obtains sediment suspended between 4 and 16 cm above the bottom. Inman (1977) has recently developed a portable device for "coring" the water column to obtain a similar vertical array of instantaneous water samples; however, detailed results were not available in time to be included in this report.

PREVIOUS RESULTS

It is generally recognized from laboratory studies that in oscillatory flow, suspended sediment concentration decreases exponentially above the bed (Hattori, 1971; Kennedy and Locher, 1972; and MacDonald, 1977). Field measurements in the surf zone by Inman (1977; Fig. 5) and Kana (1977; Figure 5) tend to confirm this relation. A relatively constant suspension wash load of fine-grained particles exists throughout the water column in the nearshore. However, in the breaker and swash zones, intermittent suspensions of relatively coarse bed material are thrown up by waves to cause the observed vertical distribution of concentration. The frequency and magnitude of these intermittent suspensions are of primary interest because of their importance in the transport of sand on beaches. In general, the timing of bursts of sediment from the bed corresponds to the time of wave breaking, with some delay as the particles lag behind the water motion (Hattori, 1969; Brenninkmeyer, 1976b).

Fairchild (1972), working from ocean piers at Ventnor, N. J. and Nags Head, N. C., has measured a slight increase in concentration with breaker height and a decrease away from the breakpoint for time-integrated pump samples. Additionally, he has shown that concentration increases with the ratio of breaker height to breaker depth and is more variable with low waves than high. His samples were collected in waves ranging from 40 to 120 cm, yielding concentrations up to 4.0 parts per thousand. The sampler intake was varied between 8 cm and 75 cm above the bed. Since most of the samples were pumped over a 3 minute time period, it is difficult to isolate the effect of a single wave and establish any quantitative relationships from these data. However, Fairchild was apparently correct in concluding that suspended sediment concentration is a function of elevation above the bed, wave height and position in the surf zone.
Brenninkmeyer (1976b), using arrays of photoelectric sensors in the surf at Point Mugu, California, found that the zone of maximum suspension occurs near the stillwater level in the swash zone. He reported that suspensions of sand more than 15 cm above the bed are rare in the outer surf zone and appear to be influenced by the stage of the tide and the elevation of the ground water table. Bursts of sediment from the bed or "sand fountains" have durations of the order 2 to 10 seconds, apparently corresponding to the period of incident waves.

Kana (1977) reported a dependency of concentration on elevation above the bed and breaker type, based on instantaneous in situ bulk water samples collected along two South Carolina beaches. Using a visual classification, he reported that plunging-type waves entrain almost one order more sediment than spilling breakers.

None of these studies is complete enough to establish a quantitative relationship between suspended sediment concentration and commonly measured surf parameters. However, they provide a basis to continue research designed to isolate and identify the important factors controlling the entrainment of sediment in the surf zone. The present paper is, at most, an attempt to describe some relations of common surf parameters to suspended sediment concentration under a variety of moderate wave conditions. As such, it applies to a relatively specific wave climate, beach morphology, sediment size and bed packing.

STUDY AREA

The field measurements for this study were obtained at two beach sites, each approximately 2 km from Price Inlet, on Bulls Island and Capers Island, South Carolina (Fig. 2). This portion of the South Carolina coast is under the influence of dominant waves from the northeast, causing net longshore transport to the south (estimated rate is $1.2 - 1.5 \times 10^5$ m$^3$/yr; Kana, 1977). Wave energy is moderate with breaker heights ranging from 20 to 160 cm under non-storm conditions, with a mean of 60 cm. The beaches at these two sites are composed of well-sorted, fine sand (mean diameter = 0.22 mm) and are gently sloping and relatively featureless (mean beach face slope = 0.018). During average swell conditions, the surf zone is approximately 50 meters wide, but due to the mean tide range of 1.5 meters, a much wider portion of the beach face is periodically exposed to the impact of breaking waves. The bed in the active surf zone is tightly compacted and rarely exhibits small scale bedforms. Slope changes along the beach face are minor and generally controlled by the formation of low amplitude ridges or bars parallel to shore.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

During 22 sampling days in June and July, 1977, multiple arrays of water samples were collected in the littoral zone near Price Inlet, South Carolina, during a variety of swell conditions using the sampler in Figure 1. Up to three arrays providing as many as 10 water samples were used in the same wave to detect suspended sediment concentrations
Figure 1. Apparatus used to collect water samples in the surf zone. A 2 m-long pole supporting several 2 l. bottles is emplaced vertically in the surf zone. When thrust into the bed, a footpad moves the trigger assembly up, simultaneously tripping each bottle. Top two bottles are rigged for sampling. Bottom bottles are in the tripped position.

Figure 2. Study area near Charleston, South Carolina, U.S.A. Suspended sediment samples were collected at stations located along two undeveloped beaches near Price Inlet (arrows).
across the surf zone and along individual wave crests. A four-to six-man field team was required in order to simultaneously collect the water samples, make littoral process observations, and photograph each wave sampled.

Two ranges were established at the experiment sites and periodically surveyed to the low tide breaker line to establish the beach slope at each sampling point. Each day, stakes were set throughout the surf zone as reference points for sampling location and wave position. Each suspended sediment sample was positioned in relation to: 1) the bed, by means of the sampling apparatus, 2) a bench mark on land, by means of the reference stakes, 3) the wave breakpoint, by measuring the distance seaward or landward to each array, and 4) the time of passage of each wave sampled. Figure 3 is a sketch of a typical sampling arrangement.

Figure 3. Sketch of the sampling arrangement showing samplers in place. Operators stood downdrift from sample point holding apparatus above bed until sampling instant.

In order to minimize the number of variables affecting the distribution of concentration, sampling was performed during swell conditions with wind velocities less than 5 m/s. Generally at these beach sites, waves are more "classically" formed and long crested in the morning before the diurnal sea breeze is established. As onshore wind velocity increases, wave crests become shorter and more irregular, making it difficult to distinguish the breakpoint. The beaches at these two sites were chosen for the experiment because their profiles are generally featureless, small scale bed forms are essentially nonexistent, and they are away from any artificial structures which may influence turbidity.
All samples reported herein were collected approximately 2 seconds after the passage of the wave bore by each sampler. Previous results indicate that, in general, the maximum concentration at a point occurs just after the passage of a wave (Kana, 1977). Thus, with 3 operators, it was possible to follow one wave toward shore and, to some extent, determine the change in concentration across the surf zone. Multiple arrays were also collected along individual wave crests to determine the range of variability of concentration within a single wave. Each wave sampled was photographed at several positions during breaking to maintain a record of wave types and provide a means of checking visual estimates of height and depth. A typical photographic sequence is shown in Figure 4.

The following surf parameters were measured for each sample array:

1. Breaker height ($H_b$) and water depth at breaking (d) - visually, by means of a graduate staff held in place at the breakpoint and checked by scaling photographs taken during sampling. Limit of error ± 10 cm.

2. Wave period (T) - by averaging the time of travel between the wave crest prior to, and the crest following, the wave sampled. Limit of error ± 1 second.

3. Surface longshore current velocity at mid-surf position (V) - by timing the travel of small floats between range markers set 10 m apart in the alongshore direction.

4. Breaker angle ($\alpha_b$) - visually, by means of a protractor, sighting the acute angle between wave crest and shoreline. Limit of error ± 2 degrees.

5. Breaker type - qualitatively, by visual observations in the field, verified by photos taken while sampling and checked against various breaker-type parameters (e.g., Galvin, 1968; Battjes, 1974).

6. Wind velocity and direction - by means of a hand-held anemometer.

Suspended sediment samples were processed by normal filtration techniques to determine concentration as a weight per unit volume (gm/1).

Using up to 3 samplers in each wave, over 250 individual waves were sampled during the study yielding approximately 1500 concentration values. Over 1000 of the samples were collected at 10 and 30 cm above the bed.

Table 1 summarizes the range of surf conditions and number of samples by wave type and wave height collected during the experiment.
Figure 4. Four successive photographs of one of the breaking waves sampled at 3 positions inside the surf zone. Arrays of suspended sediment samples taken 3 m, 7 m, and 10 m landward of A. the breakpoint. Concentrations are listed below.

A. Wave beginning to break 3 m seaward of sampler operator #1.
   $H_b = 90 \text{ cm}; \ d = 95 \text{ cm}; \ T = 8 \text{ s}; \ V = 0 \text{ cm/s}; \ m = 0.011; \ \text{breaker type: spilling}; \ \text{Time} = 0 \text{ s}.$

B. Wave fully broken, bore at Operator #1.
   Bore height = 70 cm; Depth under bore = 90 cm; Time ~ 1 s.

C. Bore approaching operator #2.
   Bore height = 60 cm; Depth = 65 cm; Time ~ 2.5 s.
   Just before sampling instant array #1 (seawardmost).

D. Bore at operator #3.
   Bore height = 55 cm; Depth = 60 cm; Time ~ 4 s.
   Just before sampling instant Array #2.

Results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Array #</th>
<th>Elev. above bed (cm)</th>
<th>Conc. (gm/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>.256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS

Previously reported field results by Watts (1953), Fairchild (1972) and Kana (1977) have shown that the concentration of suspended sand in the surf zone can vary by several orders of magnitude. Therefore, it is necessary to compare samples collected under similar conditions with regard to wave position and height above the bed in order to determine the effect of individual waves. By grouping samples according to elevation, breaker type or distance from the breakpoint, it is possible to eliminate much of this variation. As a first attempt at establishing the relation between common surf parameters and concentration, it was decided that a comparison of mean values obtained under similar conditions was the best (and perhaps only) way of isolating any trends. There will be no attempt in this paper to rigorously test these relationships. Instead, they are presented as a description of a given set of field conditions.

Plotted on a log scale, suspended sediment concentration is normally distributed by sample elevation for the data set reported herein (Fig. 5). From Figure 5, it can be seen that the mean concentration 10 cm above the bed is approximately 2 times higher than the mean concentration at 30 cm. The range of concentrations at each sample elevation spans roughly 2 orders of magnitude.

Figure 6 shows mean suspended sediment concentration by elevation for three breaker types classified by visual and photographic interpretation. Two dominant wave types, plunging and spilling, occur at the experiment sites. However, we identified and plotted an intermediate type exhibiting characteristics of both spilling and plunging
Figure 5. Normal probability plot of concentration for all samples collected 10 cm and 30 cm above the bed irrespective of breaker types.

Figure 6. Suspended sediment distribution in the outer surf zone between 1 and 10 m landward of the breakpoint. Mean concentrations are plotted by elevation above the bed for spilling and plunging type breakers. An intermediate wave type exhibiting characteristics of spilling and plunging waves is also plotted (triangles).
waves. The visual classification of wave types tends to agree with Galvin's (1968) breaker classification, which is given by a dimensionless parameter, \( B_b \), based on wave steepness and beach slope. Galvin reports a cut off from spilling to plunging waves at \( B_b = 0.068 \) versus 0.070 for these data. The depth at breaking generally decreases from spilling to plunging waves, and this agrees with mean values of the ratio breaker depth to height, \( (d/H_b) \), listed in Fig. 6. Note that plunging waves, on average, entrain almost one order more sediment than spilling breakers. Concentration decreases exponentially up to 60 cm above the bed, then appears to reach a constant value, reflecting the type of suspension: intermittent close to the bed, resulting from periodic bursts of coarse, bed material; continuous near the surface, due to the dominance of suspended wash load.

Since breaker type is an important factor controlling the magnitude of intermittent bursts of sand from the bed, it is desirable to test concentration against parameters which quantify the variation in wave type. No universally accepted breaker classification exists, but several have been proposed. Probably the most widely known are Galvin's (1968), which was already mentioned, and Battjes' (1974), which is also based on wave steepness and beach slope. Based on laboratory waves, Galvin has determined cutoffs between spillings and plunging waves at \( B_b = 0.068 \) and for plunging and collapsing waves at \( B_b = 0.003 \). Galvin's inshore parameter is plotted against mean suspended sediment concentration for samples collected 10 cm above the bed (Fig. 7). There is a general trend of increasing concentration from spilling to plunging waves, but due to considerable scatter, and the relatively flat slope of the regression line, this relation is not satisfactory as a predictor of concentration for these data.

The poor fit of the data to Galvin's breaker classification may be due to the dependence of \( B_b \) on wave period (T). A plot of concentration versus period shows essentially no dependence of suspended sediment on T (Fig. 8). This suggests that the periodicity of waves has little effect on sediment entrainment for this range of waves. This is not surprising, considering the characteristics of waves as they are transformed at breaking. Munk (1949) recognized that wave length, a function of T, has little to do with the shape of waves near the breakpoint since waves in very shallow water commonly have long, shallow troughs and steep, sharp crests. Consequently, he recommended applying solitary wave theory for describing waves near breaking. This theory differs from the classical Airy or Stokes

\[ B_b = \frac{H_b}{(g m T^2)} \]

* \( B_b \) is Galvin's *inshore* parameter given by \( B = \frac{H_b}{(g m T^2)} \), where \( H_b \) is breaker height; \( g \) is acceleration of gravity; \( m \) is beach slope; and \( T \) is wave period.
Figure 7. Mean concentration at 10 cm above the bed versus Galvin's (1968) inshore breaker type parameter \( B_b = H_b/(g\cdot m^{1/2}) \). The defined cut off between spilling and plunging waves is 0.063. Although there is a trend toward high concentrations in plunging waves, this parameter is not an adequate predictor for these data.

Figure 8. Mean concentration at 10 cm above the bed versus wave period for plunging and spilling breakers. Bars on each point represent the range in concentration values to ±1 standard deviation. Suspended sediment appears to be independent of wave period for moderate wave conditions.

Figure 9. Mean concentration at 10 cm versus the ratio of breaker depth \( d/H_b \). Bars on each point are ±1 standard deviation. This parameter, independent of wave period, is useful for distinguishing breaker types and predicting concentration.
In Figure 9, $d/H_b$ has been plotted against mean concentration for the 10 cm above the bed samples. There is still considerable scatter in the plot, but the data show better separation of breaker types by this parameter alone. Relative wave height, which is independent of wave steepness, appears more useful for classifying the present set of waves. Bars on each data point represent the range of variation in concentration to $\pm 1$ standard deviation.

It would be useful to establish a relation between wave height and suspended sediment concentration for purposes of prediction, since $H_b$ is a commonly measured surf parameter. Fairchild (1972) reported an increase in concentration with wave height, and it is commonly believed that sediment suspension increases directly with wave energy. The data in Figure 10, breaker height versus concentration by wave type, do not support this contention. The means for samples collected 10 cm above the bed show a clear distinction between breaker types, but the shape of each curve is different. Figure 10 shows an increase in concentration for spilling waves up to approximately 90 cm, then a significant decrease for higher waves up to 150 cm. This suggests a concentration maximum in wave heights between 50 and 90 cm for moderate swell conditions. Plunging waves, on the other hand, show a generally continuous decrease in concentration with increasing breaker height. It is not clear why this unexpected result occurs, but it may be related to the increased interaction of the swash uprush and backwash with smaller waves. The width of the surf zone is a function of breaker height such that higher waves tend to break in deeper water considerably seaward of the zone of maximum backwash. This is especially true for relatively flat beaches similar to those in South Carolina. If concentrations corresponding to waves less than 50 cm in height are not considered, there remains a trend of decreasing concentration with $H_b$ for both breaker types.

Concentration is plotted against distance from the breakpoint in Figure 11, showing the variation in mean values across the surf zone. Again, there is a clear distinction between breaker types, with plunging waves entraining higher amounts of sediment. Spilling waves show a sudden increase in concentration within 1-2 m landward of the breakpoint and then appear to maintain a relatively steady suspension across the surf zone. Plunging waves similarly show a rapid increase in concentration just landward of the breakpoint, then a gradual decrease with distance toward shore. The shapes of these distributions seem reasonable if one considers that plunging waves dissipate their energy more rapidly (Fuhrboter, 1970), and, consequently, should show a peak close to the breakpoint.

Other parameters tested against concentration included wind velocity, longshore current velocity and beach slope; however, none was found to be a useful predictor for these data. This is due in
Figure 10. The variation in concentration with wave height for spilling and plunging waves. In general, suspended sediment decreases with increasing wave height during moderate wave conditions on gently sloping (m = 0.018), fine-grained beaches. Plunging waves entrain approximately 1 order more sediment than spilling waves equal in height.

Figure 11. Distribution of mean concentration at 10 cm above the bed, relative to wave breakpoint. Suspended sediment increases sharply 1-2 m landward of the breakpoint, then remains relatively constant in spilling waves. Plunging waves tend to peak close to the breakpoint then gradually decrease due to more rapid energy dissipation.

Figure 12. Suspended sediment concentration appears to be independent of longshore current velocity for these data. Samples were collected during a variety of moderate wave conditions over 22 days.
part to the moderate weather conditions prevailing during the study and the attempt to sample only during swell conditions. Beach slope remained relatively constant during the experiment, and surface wind velocity never exceeded 5 m/s. Longshore currents were variable, but as shown in Figure 12, they do not explain concentration variability for these data. This is probably due to the imprecision of our current measurements, as much as the relatively low velocities recorded. It is probable that wind and longshore current velocity become very important during storms.

DISCUSSION

Despite the lack of measurements during storms (a perennial omission with experiments in the surf zone), a major problem in the present study is the range of variability of wave conditions even during relatively ideal swell conditions. To isolate the effect of a single process variable on concentration, repeat sampling under identical conditions is necessary. This may be an impossible task, since not only do successive waves vary in form on a given day, but individual waves exhibit considerable variation along their crests. This is further magnified by small scale changes in bottom topography. It was the intention in this experiment to sample well formed, swell waves with easily definable breakpoints to minimize the influence of such secondary effects as surface wind stress or small scale bedforms. Obviously, these external factors can be important. Even under controlled situations in the laboratory, suspended sediment can be as variable as turbulent flows.

Although concentration varies by several orders of magnitude in the surf zone, these data show that samples taken under similar conditions with respect to elevation, breaker type or wave height vary by much less. As indicated by the ranges in each figure, the standard deviation around the means is significantly less than one order of magnitude for most of these samples. Thus, with increased control over sampling, it should be possible to define a smaller range of concentration values for a given set of wave and beach conditions.

These results tend to confirm several widely held notions concerning sediment suspension in the surf zone, including the dependence of concentration on elevation above the bed and breaker type. But at the same time, they present relations which are not easily explained based on our present understanding, especially the decrease in concentration with breaker height. A possible explanation for the results reported herein may be found in the laboratory studies of Miller (1976), who photographed the entrainment of air in breaking waves. Figure 13, reproduced from Miller's work, shows the distribution of air bubbles entrained in plunging and spilling waves. Note that in spilling breakers, bubbles remain near the surface; whereas, in plunging waves, air is entrained all the way to the bed. Since this distribution of bubbles is an indication of turbulence, it is evident that plunging waves
will effect sediment entrainment from the bed more readily than spilling breakers. The concentration data of the present study verify this.

Figure 13. Cross-sectional contour diagrams of bubble concentration in plunging (A) and spilling (B) breakers. Numbers represent percentage estimate of the number of 1 mm scale units containing one or more bubbles (on a scale of 1 to 10). A 5 means 50% of the scale units contain bubbles, a 10 represents 100% bubble concentration. Note that bubbles penetrate to the bed in plunging waves but remain close to the surface in spillers. This suggests more turbulence reaches the bed in plunging waves contributing to the observed higher concentrations of suspended sediment for this breaker type (Miller, 1976).

Miller's diagrams may also explain lower concentrations with increasing breaker heights. If one considers that waves generally break at a depth approximately equal to wave height, turbulence in higher waves must penetrate deeper water to impact the bed. Just as the air bubbles in Miller's spilling waves remain near the surface, the energy to suspend sediment may move away from the bed as water depth increases with higher waves. Although wave energy increases with breaker height, it may be counteracted by the absorbing "cushion" of water which increases with trough depth. Also, on gently sloping coastlines like South Carolina, the largest waves tend to spill, gradually dissipating their energy over a wider surf zone.

An important implication of these results is the apparently significant role of smaller waves on sediment suspension. During moderate swell conditions, there may be less sediment moved by the largest waves breaking in the outer surf zone than is moved by small secondary waves close to the swash zone. And, as Brenninkmeyer (1966) suggests, sediment suspension may be the dominant mode of transport only in the inner surf zone.
Inman (1977) has stated that studies of suspended sediment in the surf zone are generally deficient due to the lack of simultaneous measurements of the velocity and turbulence fields. While this is certainly true, the problem will remain until better measurement techniques are developed. Obtaining simultaneous, accurate measurements of the suspended load and velocity field is a formidable and expensive proposition. The techniques used in the present study offer a less rigorous but efficient and inexpensive method of studying suspended sediment in moderate wave conditions.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the above results for plunging and spilling waves, under moderate swell conditions, the following conclusions are offered:

1. Suspended sediment concentration in the breaker zone is primarily dependent on elevation above the bed, breaker type, wave height and distance from the breakpoint.

2. Concentration decreases exponentially above the bottom to approximately 60 cm elevation as a function of the intermittent suspension of coarse sediment from the bed.

3. Relative breaker height \( \frac{d}{H_b} \) is useful for quantifying breaker types and predicting concentration at a given elevation.

4. Concentration decreases with wave height in the range 50 to 150 cm for spilling and plunging waves, but the relationship for waves less than 50 cm is not adequately resolved.

5. In spilling waves, concentration rapidly increases inside the breakpoint, then remains relatively constant under the bore as it propagates toward the beach. In plunging waves, concentration peaks within a few meters of the breakpoint, then decreases gradually toward shore.
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