

36TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON COASTAL ENGINEERING 2018

Baltimore, Maryland | July 30 – August 3, 2018

The State of the Art and Science of Coastal Engineering

Tsunami Inundation Simulation in Urban Topography



Takuya Miyashita

Ph.D. Student, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University

Nobuhito Mori

Associate Professor, DPRI, Kyoto University



Backgrounds 1/2

- Tsunamis have complex behavior especially in urban area inundation.
- The structural damage is dependent on not only the inundation height but also the momentum.
- Numerical simulations which capture the local behavior are required to minimize casualties and damages.



Backgrounds 2/2

- Only inundation heights are used for evaluation of tsunami damages.
- Buildings are often replaced with roughness and wave diffraction and dispersion around them are neglected.
- It's important to take account of micro topography with the land structures.

- This study aims to understand :
 - Validity of quasi-3D model simulations on city scale
 - Difference between 2D and quasi-3D model when simulating urban areas

Outlines of numerical simulation



Benchmark: a physical experiment Park et al. (2013)





1/50 scale Length : 48.8m Width : 26.5m

Maximum depth : 1m Slopes: 0 - 1/15 - 1/30 - 0

Benchmark: a physical experiment

- 31 points for sampling along the streets •
 - A1 A9, B1 B9, C1 C9, D1 D4
- Instruments (Frequency 50Hz) •
 - Surface elevation •
 - Cross-shore velocity u



Numerical Simulation: Quasi-3D and 2D model

- Regional Ocean Modeling System (ROMS)
 - Shchepetkin and McWilliams(2003)
- The hydrostatic primitive equations for momentum
- σ coordinate system
- Bottom friction : quadratic friction law
- 2D model is basically the same as quasi-3D, different from the number of the layers



Numerical Simulation: Quasi-3D and 2D model

- Coordinate systems
 Vertical : σ coordinate
 - quasi-3D: 20 layers
 - 2D: single layer
 - •Horizontal: Rectangular
 - Δ*x*,Δ*y* : 0.04 m
 - The minimum building has 4 × 6 grids

- *∆t* : 0.002~0.010 s
- Lateral boundaries
 North and South : closed
 East and West : open



Results and Discussion

Quasi-3D model simulation
 Comparison of quasi-3D and 2D model



Result of Simulation by quasi-3D Model

24.04 sec



Verification
 inundation height η
 velocity u and ū

- Color
 surface elevation
- Arrow

magnitude and direction of the velocity

Q3D: Inundation height (Main street)

Measurement locations



- The simulation tended to overestimate in in the middle of the line.
- The arrival time at A8 was delayed

Q3D: Inundation height (Behind of buildings)

Measurement locations



There is fluctuation in the simulation due to the reflection of the wall and wave interference.

Q3D: Inundation height



- The trends of line B and C were similar to A line.
- D line was independent of the others and its error is large.

Q3D: Cross-shore velocity

Measurement locations



Except for when experimental data was not available, the quasi-3D simulation agreed well with experiment results.

2D vs Quasi-3D



Maximum tsunami height

- Little difference from the shoreline to the middle
- The differences can be seen at region surrounded by the small houses and buildings at the inland.

2D vs Quasi-3D

- The areas of tsunami over the certain heights were calculated.
- Area of over 0.10m (5.0m in actual scale) in the 2D model is significantly smaller than that in the the quasi-3D.
- This comes from the difference of the total energy dissipation due to vertical viscosity and bottom friction.
- The 2D model may underestimate the tsunami damage, especially in urban areas.





Conclusions

 Characteristics of tsunami inundation by Q3D/2D model was compared and validated against physical model.

• The Q3D model agreed well with the experiment on the straight streets from the shoreline, but it differed with the experimental data at the points behind large buildings.

 The 2D simulation tended to be smaller in comparison with the Q3D model, because the 2D model assumes the vertical velocity profile.